

## **RULE IMPACT STATEMENT**

### **TITLE 210. STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION CHAPTER 1. STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION SUBCHAPTER 3. DEPARTMENTAL PRECEPTS**

**a. What is the purpose of the proposed rule change?**

The proposed amendment to 210:1-3-8.1 eliminates the language in the Student Data Accessibility, Transparency and Accountability Act rule that requires the State Department of Education to redact aggregate student data reports for all data cells showing a number lower than ten (10). This change strikes the language that associates a specific number with the definition of “personally identifiable information” (PII), and leaves the rule’s incorporation of the *Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act* (FERPA) definition of PII intact. This protects student privacy while providing more flexibility and transparency in the presentation of public data reports.

**b. What classes of persons will be affected by the proposed rule change and what classes of persons will bear the costs of the proposed rule change?**

The State Department of Education will be affected by the change in definition in the context of preparing data reports for public release. The agency does not anticipate any additional costs to result from the rule amendment.

**c. What classes of persons will benefit from the proposed rule change?**

The change will benefit education stakeholders and members of the public who wish to access aggregate student data reports.

**d. What is the probable economic impact of the proposed rule upon affected classes of persons or political subdivisions?**

The agency does not anticipate any economic impact upon affected classes of persons or political subdivisions as a result of implementation of the proposed rule change at this time.

**e. What is the probable cost to the agency to implement and enforce the proposed rule change?**

The agency does not anticipate any cost to the agency to implement and enforce as a result of the proposed change in the rule at this time. Additional record keeping, if any, will be performed by existing staff.

**f. What is the economic impact on any political subdivision to implement the proposed rule change?**

The agency does not anticipate any economic impact on any political subdivision to implement the proposed rule change at this time.

**g. Will implementing the rule change have an adverse effect on small business as provided by the Oklahoma Small Business Regulatory Flexibility Act?**

The agency does not anticipate any adverse economic impact on small business as a result of the proposed rule change at this time.

**h. Are there any other methods which are less costly, nonregulatory, or less intrusive to achieve the purpose of the proposed rule change?**

No.

**i. Will the rule change impact the public health, safety, and environment, and is the change designed to reduce significant risks to the public health, safety, and environment? If so, explain nature of risk and to what extent the proposed rule change will reduce the risk.**

The agency does not anticipate any impact on public health, safety, or environment as a result of implementation of the proposed rule at this time.

**j. What detrimental effect will there be on the public health, safety, and environment if the rule change is not implemented?**

The agency does not anticipate any detrimental effect on public health, safety, or environment as a result of failure to implement the proposed rule at this time.

**k. Date Prepared:** August 19, 2015