# RULE IMPACT STATEMENT

## TITLE 210. STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
## CHAPTER 10. SCHOOL ADMINISTRATION AND INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES
## SUBCHAPTER 13. STUDENT ASSESSMENT

a. **What is the purpose of the proposed rule change?**
The proposed amendments to 210:10-13-2 make changes to the definition of "Full Academic Year (FAY) student", and eliminate language that established a different accountability reporting status for residential facilities and juvenile detention facilities that have a site code versus those facilities that do not. Under the proposed FAY definition in (e), a student shall be considered a FAY student if they are enrolled within the first 10 instructional days of the school's instructional year through and including the date of the exam, without an enrollment lapse of 10 or more days. This is a change from the current language that classifies a student as an FAY student if they are enrolled from October 1 through the date of the exam without a lapse of 10 or more days. In (f), language is eliminated that established a different reporting status for the very limited number of juvenile and residential facilities that have their own site codes. These changes are necessary as emergency amendments so the updated FAY definition and accountability reporting classification will be in effect for the upcoming school year.

b. **What classes of persons will be affected by the proposed rule change and what classes of persons will bear the costs of the proposed rule change?**
The proposed changes will affect school districts, and residential facilities and juvenile detention facilities that provide educational services to students. The agency does not anticipate any additional costs to result from the rule amendment.

c. **What classes of persons will benefit from the proposed rule change?**
The proposed changes will affect school districts, and juvenile detention facilities and residential facilities that provide educational services to students.

d. **What is the probable economic impact of the proposed rule upon affected classes of persons or political subdivisions?**
The agency does not anticipate any economic impact upon affected classes of persons or political subdivisions as a result of implementation of the proposed rule change at this time.

e. **What is the probable cost to the agency to implement and enforce the proposed rule change?**
The agency does not anticipate any cost to the agency to implement and enforce as a result of the proposed change in the rule at this time. Additional record keeping, if any, will be performed by existing staff.

f. **What is the economic impact on any political subdivision to implement the proposed rule change?**
The agency does not anticipate any economic impact on any political subdivision to implement the proposed rule change at this time.

g. **Will implementing the rule change have an adverse effect on small business as provided by the Oklahoma Small Business Regulatory Flexibility Act?**
The agency does not anticipate any adverse economic impact on small business as a result of the proposed rule change at this time.

h. **Are there any other methods which are less costly, nonregulatory, or less intrusive to achieve the purpose of the proposed rule change?**
No.

i. **Will the rule change impact the public health, safety, and environment, and is the change designed to reduce significant risks to the public health, safety, and environment? If so, explain nature of risk and to what extent the proposed rule change will reduce the risk.**
The agency does not anticipate any impact on public health, safety, or environment as a result of implementation of the proposed rule at this time.

j. **What detrimental effect will there be on the public health, safety, and environment if the rule change is not implemented?**
The agency does not anticipate any detrimental effect on public health, safety, or environment as a result of failure to implement the proposed rule at this time.

k. **Date Prepared**: June 5, 2015