

Title 70 O.S. § 3-104.4

“ . . . the State Board of Education shall adopt standards for the accreditation of the public schools in this state . . . ”

ACCREDITATION RECOMMENDATIONS

- Accredited With No Deficiencies
- Accredited With Deficiencies
- Accredited With Warning
- Accredited With Probation
- Nonaccredited

Accredited With No Deficiencies

All standards are being met.

Accredited With Deficiencies

A school site fails to meet one or more of the standards, but the deficiency does not seriously detract from the quality of the school's educational program.

Accredited With Warning

A school site fails to meet one or more of the standards and the deficiency seriously detracts from the quality of the school's educational program; and/or,

Identified as a School Improvement School in year 1 or 2. To be implemented in school year 2008-09.

Accredited With Probation

A school site consistently fails to remove or make substantial progress towards removing all deficiencies noted the previous year; and/or,

Consistently violates regulations; and/or,

Deliberately and unnecessarily violates one or more of the regulations; and/or,

Identified as a School Improvement School Year 3 or beyond. To be implemented in school year 2008-09.

Nonaccredited

The school site is no longer recognized by the State Board of Education.

2009-2010 School Year

Number of Public, Nonpublic, Charter and Career and Technology Education Districts

- Accreditation With No Deficiencies 467
- Accreditation With One Deficiency 65
- Accreditation With Deficiencies 34
- Accreditation With Warning 24
- Accreditation With Probation 3
- Accreditation Not Recommended 0

2009-2010 School Year

Number of Entities Recommended for Accreditation

	<u>Public</u>	<u>Nonpublic</u>	<u>Career Tech</u>
With No Deficiencies	409*	15	43
With One Deficiency	64	1	0
With Deficiencies	33	0	1
With Warning	24	0	0
With Probation	3	0	0
Not Recommended	0	0	0

*Deborah Brown Charter School (sponsored by Langston University)

District Accreditation Recommendations of Public, Nonpublic, Charter and Career and Technology Education (Three Year Review)

	<u>2009-2010</u>	<u>2008-2009</u>	<u>2007-2008</u>
Accreditation With No Deficiencies	467	484	525
Accreditation With One Deficiency	65	68	55
Accreditation With Deficiencies	34	44	20
Accreditation With Warning	24	3	0
Accreditation With Probation	3	0	0
Accreditation Not Recommended	0	1	0

Schools Districts Accredited with Warning

ADAIR

*WATTS
*CAVE SPRINGS

ATOKA

FARRIS
*STRINGTOWN

BECKHAM

*ERICK

CANADIAN

*EL RENO

CHOCTAW

HUGO

No asterisks=deficiencies only

*School Improvement Schools Only

** School Improvement Schools and Deficiencies

July 23, 2009

State Board of Education

CRAIG

*VINITA

CREEK

*MOUNDS

DELAWARE

*JAY

GARFIELD

CHISHOLM

JOHNSTON

COLEMAN

Schools Districts Accredited with Warning

KAY

BRAMAN

LOVE

*MARIETTA

MARSHALL

**MADILL

MCCLAIN

NEWCASTLE

MUSKOGEE

*MUSKOGEE

OKFUSKEE

BOLEY

No asterisks=deficiencies only

*School Improvement Schools Only

** School Improvement Schools and Deficiencies

OKLAHOMA

*CRUTCHO

OKMULGEE

*OKMULGEE

ROGERS

CHELSEA

TEXAS

*GUYMON

TULSA

*JENKS
*UNION

Schools Districts Accredited with Probation

OKLAHOMA

**OKLAHOMA CITY

SEMINOLE

BUTNER

TULSA

**TULSA

No asterisks=deficiencies only

*School Improvement Schools Only

** School Improvement Schools and Deficiencies

Note: Sites from Oklahoma City and Tulsa districts are listed on both the Warning and Probation lists. These districts are included in the Accreditation with Probation count (not in the “Warning” count).

Title 70 O.S. § 3-104.4

“ . . . the State Board of Education shall adopt standards for the accreditation of the public schools in this state . . . ”

2009-2010 School Year District Closings and Annexations/Consolidations

- **Mid Year Closings**
 - **Kaw City**
(March 1, 2009)
(Kay county)
 - **Leonard**
(November 4, 2008)
(Tulsa county)
- **End of Year Closings**
 - **Picher Cardin**
(July 1, 2009)
(Ottawa county)

Deficiencies in descending order by total schools cited, for school year 2009-2010.

DEFICIENCY

School District

1. Teacher teaching without proper credential/endorsement	44	39
2. Site is identified as a School Improvement School, Year 1 or 2	31	18
3. Library media does not meet state board regulations	17	9
4. Not in compliance: Fire drills	17	13
5. 4-year-old class size does not meet regulations	13	13
6. Site is identified as a School Improvement School, Year 3 or beyond	13	2
7. Mandated reports not submitted in a timely manner	11	11
8. Not in compliance: Staff trained in CPR & Heimlich maneuver	10	8
9. Coach needs course in Care & Prevention of Athletic Injuries	9	8
10. Amount of library expenditures does not meet SDE regulations	8	7