Oklahoma				
Part B Results Driven Accountability Matrix: 2014				
Reading Component Elements	Performance	Score		
Percentage of 4th and 8th Grade Children with Disabilities Participating in Regular Statewide Assessments	48.00%	0		
Proficiency Gap for 4th and 8th Grade Children with Disabilities on Regular Statewide Assessments	Data Suppressed	*		
Percentage of 4th Grade Children with Disabilities Scoring at Basic or Above on the National Assessment of Educational Progress	26.00%	1		
Percentage of 4th Grade Children with Disabilities Excluded from Testing on the National Assessment of Educational Progress	9.00%	1		
Percentage of 8th Grade Children with Disabilities Scoring at Basic or Above on the National Assessment of Educational Progress	33.00%	1		
Percentage of 8th Grade Children with Disabilities Excluded from Testing on the National Assessment of Educational Progress	8.00%	1		
Math Component Elements	Performance	Score		
Math Component Elements Percentage of 4th and 8th Grade Children with Disabilities Participating in Regular State Assessment	Performance 51.00%	Score 0		
Percentage of 4th and 8th Grade Children with Disabilities Participating in Regular				
Percentage of 4th and 8th Grade Children with Disabilities Participating in Regular State Assessment Proficiency Gap for 4th and 8th Grade Children with Disabilities on Regular	51.00%	0		
Percentage of 4th and 8th Grade Children with Disabilities Participating in Regular State Assessment Proficiency Gap for 4th and 8th Grade Children with Disabilities on Regular Statewide Assessments Percentage of 4th Grade Children with Disabilities Scoring at Basic or Above on the	51.00% Data Suppressed	*		
Percentage of 4th and 8th Grade Children with Disabilities Participating in Regular State Assessment Proficiency Gap for 4th and 8th Grade Children with Disabilities on Regular Statewide Assessments Percentage of 4th Grade Children with Disabilities Scoring at Basic or Above on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Percentage of 4th Grade Children with Disabilities Excluded from Testing on the	51.00% Data Suppressed 58.00%	0 * 2		
Percentage of 4th and 8th Grade Children with Disabilities Participating in Regular State Assessment Proficiency Gap for 4th and 8th Grade Children with Disabilities on Regular Statewide Assessments Percentage of 4th Grade Children with Disabilities Scoring at Basic or Above on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Percentage of 4th Grade Children with Disabilities Excluded from Testing on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Percentage of 8th Grade Children with Disabilities Scoring at Basic or Above on the	51.00% Data Suppressed 58.00% 10.00%	0 * 2 1		
Percentage of 4th and 8th Grade Children with Disabilities Participating in Regular State Assessment Proficiency Gap for 4th and 8th Grade Children with Disabilities on Regular Statewide Assessments Percentage of 4th Grade Children with Disabilities Scoring at Basic or Above on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Percentage of 4th Grade Children with Disabilities Excluded from Testing on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Percentage of 8th Grade Children with Disabilities Scoring at Basic or Above on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Percentage of 8th Grade Children with Disabilities Excluded from Testing on the Percentage of 8th Grade Children with Disabilities Excluded from Testing on the	51.00% Data Suppressed 58.00% 10.00% 25.00%	0 * 2 1 0		

Results Total Points Available	Results Points Earned	Results Performance		
16	8	50.00%		
Compliance Total Points Available	Compliance Points Earned ²	Compliance Performance		
22	18	81.82%		
Results Driven Accountability Percentage and Determination ³				
65.91%	NEEDS ASSISTANCE (yellow)			

^{1.} The Department is committed to using graduation data in determinations but identified potential discrepancies between States with respect to what is included as a regular high school diploma for children with disabilities, as reported to the Department. To ensure that States are treated equitably, we will work with States to address these discrepancies and plan to use graduation data in the 2015 Part B determinations.

^{2.} Review the Part B Compliance Matrix for a breakdown of compliance points earned.

Review "How the Department I description of how the Compliance	Made Determinations under Secti	on 616(d) of the Individuals with	Disabilities Education Act in 2014: Pa he Results Driven Accountability Perc	art B" for a detailed entage and Determination
were calculated.	•			
were calculated.				

Oklahoma Part B Compliance Matrix: 2014

Part B Compliance Indicator ¹	Performance	Full Correction of Findings of Noncompliance Identified in FFY 2011	Score
Indicator 4B: Significant discrepancy, by race and ethnicity, in the rate of suspension and expulsion, and policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with specified requirements.	0.00%	N/A	2
Indicator 9: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services due to inappropriate identification.	0.00%	N/A	2
Indicator 10: Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories due to inappropriate identification.	0.00%	N/A	2
Indicator 11: Timely initial evaluation	96.65%	Y	2
Indicator 12: IEP developed and implemented by third birthday	90.00%	Y	2
Indicator 13: Secondary transition	92.64%	Y	2
Indicator 15: Timely correction	Not Valid and Reliable		0
Indicator 20: Timely and accurate State-reported data	93.33%		1
Timely State Complaint Decisions	100.00%		2
Timely Due Process Hearing Decisions	100.00%		2
Longstanding Noncompliance			1
Special Conditions	NONE		
Uncorrected identified noncompliance	YES 2 TO 4 YRS		
	Total Compliance Score		18

Points Earned	Total Possible Points	%
18	22	81.82%

^{1.} The complete language for each indicator is located on page one of the State's Part B FFY 2012 SPP/APR Response Table.