

Amendment of Solicitation

Date of Issuance: 05/08/2019		olicitation No. <u>2650000364</u>	
Requisition No. 2650007297	An	nendment No. 1	
Hour and date specified for receipt of offers is changed	d: X No	☐ Yes, to:	CST
Pursuant to OAC 260:115-7-30(d), this document shall identified above. Such notice is being provided to all su Suppliers submitting bids or quotations shall acknowled date specified in the solicitation as follows: (1) Sign and return a copy of this amendment with (2) If the supplier has already submitted a responsithe solicitation deadline. All amendment acknowledges and bid opening date printed clearly of	uppliers to wadge receipt of the solicitations, this acknowledgement	thich the original solicitation was of this solicitation amendment tion response being submitted; owledgement must be signed ts submitted separately shall h	as sent. prior to the hour and or, and returned prior to
State Department of Education 2500 N. Lincoln Blvd. Suite 429 Oklahoma City, OK 73105 - or	Amber Mihm Contracting (405 - 522 - 4 Phone Num Amber.mihm	Officer 511	

Description of Amendment:

Same .OK

a. This is to incorporate the following:

On behalf of the State of Oklahoma, the State Department of Education gives notice of the following questions concerning this solicitation which closes on May 15, 2019. All questions and procurement/agency responses are detailed below.

E-Mail Address

Q1: In preparing your proposal to support the Native Youth Community Project, was OSDE assisted by an external evaluator? If so, is that evaluator eligible to bid on this RFP?

Response: The Oklahoma State Department of Education was not assisted by an external evaluator during the preparation of the proposal.

Q2: In their grant application, did OSDE establish a budget for an external evaluator? If so, what is the budget amount for each year of the project or the total for all four years?

Response: Budget information will not be released.

Q3: Is OSDE interested in implementation and outcomes metrics reported at the school/district level, or aggregated across the four participating schools/districts?

Response: The Oklahoma State Department of Education is interested in reported measurements at the site level and across all four sites. Our program will be responsible to accomplish results as provided by the U.S. Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA).

Q4: The RFP indicates that four site visits to participating schools are required each year. Is there flexibility in this requirement as resources may be spent more efficiently by proposing a different number of site visits or by staggering site visits?

Response: The Oklahoma State Department of Education will allow flexibility in the evaluation of the program as each site has unique characteristics that may require more or less overall visitations. No site shall go without an evaluation visitation.

Q5: Do the participating schools have a K-12 college and career readiness system, such as Naviance, to capture scholarship information or are these awards reported by students to their counselors?

Response: Participating sites do not uniformly have a college and career readiness system, which captures scholarship information, across all four sites.

Q6: How will evaluators have access to these data (or should a data collection mechanism be created/implemented as part of this project's scope)?

Response: The approved evaluator will rely on the data collected by the program, including data points collected by the University of Oklahoma K-20 Center TREK system, TREK is a data management information system.

Q7: Approximately how many ICAPs portfolios will the evaluator be responsible for reviewing each year and what will be the nature of the reviews?

Response: The evaluator will be responsible for approximately 863 ICAP portfolios each year. The nature of the reviews will be to examine sufficient adherence to the state-mandated Individual Career Academic Plan process for American Indian students enrolled at participating sites.

Q8: The RFP states that in order to receive an award or payments from the State of Oklahoma, suppliers must be registered. Is it acceptable to wait to register with the state until award is announced (prior to award being executed), or would lack of registration negatively impact proposal scoring?

Response: The lack of a vendor registration will not negatively impact proposal scoring, applicants are encouraged to register in advance as an award letter cannot be administered without a vendor registration.

Q9: Will the external evaluator have access to student responses to the Gallup student surveys for compiling related GPRA measures (i.e., those related to student reports of career awareness, sense of school engagement and hope. and potentially, student reports of scholarship information?)

Response: At this time the external evaluator will not have access, however pending the approval of personally identifiable information protections, the evaluator may have access to responses.

Q10: Is there a page limit for the proposal?

Pagnanga: There is no page limit for the proposal

Response. There is no page limit for the proposal.	
Q11: Is there a budget limit for the proposal, or budget guidelines set forth b	y the State of Oklahoma?
Response: Budget information will not be released.	
The above questions and answers are only from questions that were receive after the deadline will not be answered.	ed prior to the deadline. Questions received
o. All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.	
Supplier Company Name (PRINT)	Date
Authorized Representative Name (PRINT) Title	Authorized Representative Signature