

RULE IMPACT STATEMENT 210:20-9-94

"Period of validity of certificates" [AMENDED]

a. What is the purpose of the proposed rule change?

This is the proposed permanent version of an emergency currently in effect. Historically, the rule that establishes the validity period of teaching certificates has placed a limit of two (2) total years on the authorization for an individual to be issued an emergency teaching certificate. The provisions proposed for adoption authorize the State Board of Education to issue an emergency teaching certificate to a qualified individual for a third consecutive year, bringing the maximum validity period of an emergency certificate extended by State Board approval to three (3) years.

b. What classes of persons will be affected by the proposed rule change and what classes of persons will bear the costs of the proposed rule change?

The rule change will affect school districts that have employed an emergency certified teacher for two consecutive years, and wish to employ the individual for a third year of emergency certified teaching in the event a teacher with a standard certificate cannot be hired. The change will also affect emergency certified teachers who have not yet completed the requirements for standard certification, but who meet the eligibility requirements for a third year of emergency certification.

c. What classes of persons will benefit from the proposed rule change?

The rule change will benefit the school districts and emergency certified individuals described above.

d. What is the probable economic impact of the proposed rule upon affected classes of persons or political subdivisions?

The agency does not anticipate any economic impact upon political subdivisions or affected classes as a result of implementation of the proposed rule change at this time.

e. What is the probable cost to the agency to implement and enforce the proposed rule change?

The agency does not anticipate any cost to the agency to implement and enforce as a result of the proposed change in the rule at this time. Additional record keeping, if any, will be performed by existing staff.

f. What is the economic impact on any political subdivision to implement the proposed rule change?

The agency does not anticipate any economic impact on any political subdivision to implement the proposed rule change at this time.

- g. **Will implementing the rule change have an adverse effect on small business as provided by the Oklahoma Small Business Regulatory Flexibility Act?**

The agency does not anticipate any adverse economic impact on small business as a result of the proposed rule change at this time.

- h. **Are there any other methods which are less costly, nonregulatory, or less intrusive to achieve the purpose of the proposed rule change?**

No.

- i. **Will the rule change impact the public health, safety, and environment, and is the change designed to reduce significant risks to the public health, safety, and environment? If so, explain nature of risk and to what extent the proposed rule change will reduce the risk.**

The agency does not anticipate any impact on public health, safety, or environment as a result of implementation of the proposed rule at this time.

- j. **What detrimental effect will there be on the public health, safety, and environment if the rule change is not implemented?**

The agency does not anticipate any detrimental effect on public health, safety, or environment as a result of failure to implement the proposed rule at this time.

- k. **Date Prepared:** October 20, 2020