## **OSTP Grade 5 Holistic Writing Rubric**



Cocina	Description
Score	Description
4	<ul> <li>Content is well-suited for the audience and task/purpose and the writing maintains a clear focus; ideas are fully developed.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Organization is strong, creating unity and coherence; contains an engaging introduction, effective conclusion and logical sequencing with smooth, effective transitions.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Word choice is varied and conveys meaning; language is effective and connects to the audience.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Sentence structure is clear and correct, and the writing demonstrates a rich variety of structures, types, and lengths; any errors are minor.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The writing demonstrates appropriate control of grammar, usage, and mechanics; errors are minor</li> </ul>
	and do not affect readability.
3	<ul> <li>Content is adequate for the audience and task/purpose and the writing has an evident focus; ideas are somewhat developed.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Organization is adequate, creating some unity and coherence; introduction and conclusion are appropriate, and sequencing is logical with limited transitions.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Word choice is general and includes some variety; language is adequate and attempts to connect to the audience.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Sentence structure is correct and the writing demonstrates an adequate variety of structures, types,</li> </ul>
	and lengths; errors may be present but do not interfere with fluency.
	<ul> <li>The writing demonstrates adequate control of grammar, usage, and mechanics; errors are noticeable but do not significantly affect readability.</li> </ul>
2	<ul> <li>Content is inconsistent for the audience and task/purpose and the writing has an unclear focus; ideas are minimally developed and may be listed.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Organization lacks clarity, demonstrating weak unity and coherence; introduction and conclusion are ineffective, there is little or random sequencing, and transitions are limited.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Word choice lacks precision and variety; language may be inappropriate, ineffective, simplistic, or vague.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Sentence structure lacks control and the writing demonstrates limited variety of structures, types, and lengths; errors interfere with fluency.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The writing demonstrates limited control of grammar, usage, and mechanics; errors are distracting and may interfere with readability.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Content is irrelevant for the audience and task/purpose and the writing has a confusing focus; ideas are repetitive or lack development.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Organization lacks logical direction; there is no evidence of unity or coherence.</li> </ul>
	Word choice is extremely limited or inaccurate; language fails to communicate meaning. The
1	writing may be too short to demonstrate variety.
	<ul> <li>Sentence structure is inappropriate and the writing demonstrates no variety of structures, types, and lengths; errors interfere with fluency. The writing may be too short to demonstrate control of</li> </ul>
	sentence structures.
	The writing demonstrates minimal control of grammar, usage, and mechanics; errors are numerous and impede readability.      The writing demonstrates minimal control of grammar, usage, and mechanics; errors are numerous and impede readability.      The writing demonstrates minimal control of grammar, usage, and mechanics; errors are numerous and impede readability.

Responses receive a score designation of "unscorable" a performance level of "Below Standard" if they meet any of the following conditions:

- restatement of the task (prompt) or a refusal
- in a language other than English
- illegible, incomprehensible, or otherwise indecipherable
- about a topic different from the assigned task