Permanent Recommendation #1a: For the Teacher Evaluation System, the TLE Commission recommends that the Oklahoma State Board of Education name a default framework that is paid for by the state in terms of training and implementation requirements to serve as the qualitative assessment component that must comprise 50% of the total evaluation criteria required by 70 O.S. § 6-101.16.

Permanent Recommendation #1b: The TLE Commission recommends that the Teacher Evaluation default framework be Tulsa’s TLE Observation and Evaluation System.

Permanent Recommendation #1c: The TLE Commission recommends that the Oklahoma State Board of Education name a limited number of frameworks that meet specific criteria, including all statutory requirements, for district selection. Frameworks other than the default will be supported by local funds and twenty-five percent (25%) of available state training funds. The following frameworks should be included in the list of approved options: Danielson’s Framework for Teaching, Marzano’s Causal Teacher Evaluation Model, and Tulsa’s TLE Observation and Evaluation System.

Permanent Recommendation #1d: For the Leader Evaluation System, the TLE Commission recommends that the Oklahoma State Board of Education name a default framework that is paid for by the state in terms of training and implementation requirements to serve as the qualitative assessment component that must comprise 50% of the total evaluation criteria required by 70 O.S. § 6-101.16.

Permanent Recommendation #1e: The TLE Commission recommends that the Leader Evaluation default framework be Mc.REL’s Principal Evaluation System.

Permanent Recommendation #1f: The TLE Commission recommends that the Oklahoma State Board of Education name a limited number of frameworks that meet specific criteria, including all statutory requirements for district selection. Frameworks other than the default will be supported by local funds or at the discretion of the Oklahoma State Department of Education through a formula based on the district’s Average Daily Attendance. The following frameworks should be included in the list of approved options: McREL’s Principal Evaluation System (pending correlation to statutory criteria) and Reeves’s Leadership Performance Matrix (pending correlation to statutory criteria).

Permanent Recommendation #2: For both the Teacher Evaluation System and the Leader Evaluation System, the TLE Commission recommends that any modifications to the default framework or other approved frameworks must be approved by the Oklahoma State Board of Education against a specific set of criteria, including all statutory requirements, based on impact to student learning.
**Permanent Recommendation #3a:** In regards to the quantitative portion of the Teacher and Leader Evaluation System, the TLE Commission recommends using a Value Added Model in calculating the thirty-five percentage points attributed to student academic growth using multiple years of standardized test data for those teachers in grades and subjects for which multiple years of standardized test data exist.

**Permanent Recommendation #3b:** In regards to the quantitative portion of the Teacher and Leader Evaluation System, the TLE Commission recommends using a Value Added Model in calculating the thirty-five percentage points attributed to student academic growth using multiple years of standardized test data for those leaders of buildings containing grades and subjects for which multiple years of standardized test data exist.

**Permanent Recommendation #4:** In addressing those teachers and leaders in grades and subjects for which there is no state-mandated testing measure to create a quantitative assessment, the TLE Commission recommends conducting more research to determine the appropriate measure(s) of student achievement taking into account a combination of multiple measures and including teacher, leader, and specialist input.

**Permanent Recommendation #5:** In regards to the fifteen percentage points based on other academic measures, the TLE Commission recommends conducting further study of best practices across the country as well as inviting Oklahoma educators to provide input to develop a list of appropriate measures for Oklahoma.