# CONSOLIDATED STATE PERFORMANCE REPORT: Parts I and II

for
STATE FORMULA GRANT PROGRAMS
under the
ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT
As amended in 2001

For reporting on School Year 2010-11

### **OKLAHOMA**



PART I DUE FRIDAY, DECEMBER 16, 2011 PART II DUE FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 17, 2012

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION WASHINGTON, DC 20202

## OMB NO. 1810-0614 Page 2 INTRODUCTION

Sections 9302 and 9303 of the *Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA)*, as amended in 2001 provide to States the option of applying for and reporting on multiple *ESEA* programs through a single consolidated application and report. Although a central, practical purpose of the Consolidated State Application and Report is to reduce "red tape" and burden on States, the Consolidated State Application and Report are also intended to have the important purpose of encouraging the integration of State, local, and *ESEA* programs in comprehensive planning and service delivery and enhancing the likelihood that the State will coordinate planning and service delivery across multiple State and local programs. The combined goal of all educational agencies—State, local, and Federal—is a more coherent, well-integrated educational plan that will result in improved teaching and learning. The Consolidated State Application and Report includes the following *ESEA* programs:

- o Title I, Part A Improving Basic Programs Operated by Local Educational Agencies
- o Title I, Part B, Subpart 3 William F. Goodling Even Start Family Literacy Programs
- o Title I, Part C Education of Migratory Children (Includes the Migrant Child Count)
- Title I, Part D Prevention and Intervention Programs for Children and Youth Who Are Neglected, Delinquent, or At-Risk
- Title II, Part A Improving Teacher Quality State Grants (Teacher and Principal Training and Recruiting Fund)
- o Title III, Part A English Language Acquisition, Language Enhancement, and Academic Achievement Act
- o Title IV, Part A, Subpart 1 Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities State Grants
- Title IV, Part A, Subpart 2 Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities National Activities (Community Service Grant Program)
- o Title V, Part A Innovative Programs
- o Title VI, Section 6111 Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities
- Title VI, Part B Rural Education Achievement Program
- o Title X, Part C Education for Homeless Children and Youths

The ESEA Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR) for school year (SY) 2010-11 consists of two Parts, Part I and Part II.

### **PARTI**

Part I of the CSPR requests information related to the five *ESEA* Goals, established in the June 2002 Consolidated State Application, and information required for the Annual State Report to the Secretary, as described in Section 1111(h)(4) of the *ESEA*. The five *ESEA* Goals established in the June 2002 Consolidated State Application are:

- **Performance Goal 1:** By SY 2013-14, all students will reach high standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.
- **Performance Goal 2:** All limited English proficient students will become proficient in English and reach high academic standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.
- Performance Goal 3: By SY 2005-06, all students will be taught by highly qualified teachers.
- Performance Goal 4: All students will be educated in learning environments that are safe, drug free, and conducive
  to learning.
- Performance Goal 5: All students will graduate from high school.

Beginning with the CSPR SY 2005-06 collection, the Education of Homeless Children and Youths was added. The Migrant Child count was added for the SY 2006-07 collection.

### **PART II**

Part II of the CSPR consists of information related to State activities and outcomes of specific *ESEA* programs. While the information requested varies from program to program, the specific information requested for this report meets the following criteria:

- 1. The information is needed for Department program performance plans or for other program needs.
- 2. The information is not available from another source, including program evaluations pending full implementation of required EDFacts submission.
- 3. The information will provide valid evidence of program outcomes or results.

### **GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS AND TIMELINES**

All States that received funding on the basis of the Consolidated State Application for the SY 2010-11 must respond to this Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR). Part I of the Report is due to the Department by **Friday, December 16, 2011**. Part II of the Report is due to the Department by **Friday, February 17, 2012**. Both Part I and Part II should reflect data from the SY 2010-11, unless otherwise noted.

The format states will use to submit the Consolidated State Performance Report has changed to an online submission starting with SY 2004-05. This online submission system is being developed through the Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and will make the submission process less burdensome. Please see the following section on transmittal instructions for more information on how to submit this year's Consolidated State Performance Report.

### TRANSMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS

The Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR) data will be collected online from the SEAs, using the EDEN web site. The EDEN web site will be modified to include a separate area (sub-domain) for CSPR data entry. This area will utilize EDEN formatting to the extent possible and the data will be entered in the order of the current CSPR forms. The data entry screens will include or provide access to all instructions and notes on the current CSPR forms; additionally, an effort will be made to design the screens to balance efficient data collection and reduction of visual clutter.

Initially, a state user will log onto EDEN and be provided with an option that takes him or her to the "SY 2010-11 CSPR". The main CSPR screen will allow the user to select the section of the CSPR that he or she needs to either view or enter data. After selecting a section of the CSPR, the user will be presented with a screen or set of screens where the user can input the data for that section of the CSPR. A user can only select one section of the CSPR at a time. After a state has included all available data in the designated sections of a particular CSPR Part, a lead state user will certify that Part and transmit it to the Department. Once a Part has been transmitted, ED will have access to the data. States may still make changes or additions to the transmitted data, by creating an updated version of the CSPR. Detailed instructions for transmitting the SY 2010-11 CSPR will be found on the main CSPR page of the EDEN web site (https://EDEN.ED.GOV/EDENPortal/).

	OMB Number: 1810-0614
	Expiration Date: 11/30/2013
	Consolidated State Performance Report For State Formula Grant Programs under the Elementary And Secondary Education Act as amended in 2001
Check the one that indicates the reportPart I, 2010-11	you are submitting:X_Part II, 2010-11
Name of State Educational Agency (SE Oklahoma State Department of Educati	
Address: 2500 North Lincoln Blvd Oklahoma City, OK 73105	
	Person to contact about this report:
Name: Kerri White	·
Telephone: 405-522-8618	
Fax: 405-522-0496	
e-mail: kerri.white@sde.ok.gov	
Name of Authorizing State Official: (Prir Kerri K. White	nt or Type):
Signature	Friday, April 6, 2012, 10:12:52 AM  Date

## CONSOLIDATED STATE PERFORMANCE REPORT PART II

# For reporting on School Year 2010-11



PART II DUE FEBRUARY 17, 2012 5PM EST

### 2.1 IMPROVING BASIC PROGRAMS OPERATED BY LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES (TITLE I, PART A)

This section collects data on Title I, Part A programs.

### 2.1.1 Student Achievement in Schools with Title I, Part A Programs

The following sections collect data on student academic achievement on the State's assessments in schools that receive Title I, Part A funds and operate either Schoolwide programs or Targeted Assistance programs.

### 2.1.1.1 Student Achievement in Mathematics in Schoolwide Schools (SWP)

In the format of the table below, provide the number of students in SWP schools who completed the assessment and for whom a proficiency level was assigned, in grades 3 through 8 and high school, on the State's mathematics assessments under Section 1111(b)(3) of *ESEA*. Also, provide the number of those students who scored at or above proficient. The percentage of students who scored at or above proficient is calculated automatically.

Grade	# Students Who Completed the Assessment and for Whom a Proficiency Level Was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or above Proficient	Percentage at or above Proficient
3	35,391	23,229	65.6
4	33,907	22,406	66.1
5	33,329	21,001	63.0
6	27,139	16,419	60.5
7	25,474	15,115	59.3
8	23,944	13,994	58.4
High School	11,483	7,733	67.3
Total	190,667	119,897	62.9
Comments:			

### 2.1.1.2 Student Achievement in Reading/Language Arts in Schoolwide Schools (SWP)

### This section

is similar to 2.1.1.1. The only difference is that this section collects data on performance on the State's reading/language arts assessment in SWP.

Grade	# Students Who Completed the Assessment and for Whom a Proficiency Level Was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or above Proficient	Percentage at or above Proficient
3	35,320	22,386	63.4
4	33,848	19,349	57.2
5	33,280	20,118	60.5
6	27,105	15,629	57.7
7	25,475	16,202	63.6
8	23,917	16,556	69.2
High School	10,869	8,210	75.5
Total	189,814	118,450	62.4
Comments:			

### 2.1.1.3 Student Achievement in Mathematics in Targeted Assistance Schools (TAS)

In the table below, provide the number of all students in TAS who completed the assessment and for whom a proficiency level was assigned, in grades 3 through 8 and high school, on the State's mathematics assessments under Section 1111(b)(3) of *ESEA*. Also, provide the number of those students who scored at or above proficient. The percentage of students who scored at or above proficient is calculated automatically.

Grade	# Students Who Completed the Assessment and for Whom a Proficiency Level Was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or above Proficient	Percentage at or above Proficient
3	5,875	4,376	74.5
4	6,115	4,699	76.8
5	6,375	4,707	73.8
6	5,986	4,287	71.6
7	5,126	3,442	67.1
8	4,530	3,208	70.8
High School	1,393	1,096	78.7
Total	35,400	25,815	72.9
Comments:			

### 2.1.1.4 Student Achievement in Reading/Language Arts in Targeted Assistance Schools (TAS)

This section is similar to 2.1.1.3. The only difference is that this section collects data on performance on the State's reading/language arts assessment by all students in TAS.

Grade	# Students Who Completed the Assessment and for Whom a Proficiency Level Was Assigned	# Students Scoring at or above Proficient	Percentage at or above Proficient
3	5,865	4,406	75.1
4	6,111	4,277	70.0
5	6,361	4,587	72.1
6	5,975	4,043	67.7
7	5,122	3,695	72.1
8	4,526	3,641	80.4
High School	839	674	80.3
Total	34,799	25,323	72.8
Comments:			

### 2.1.2 Title I, Part A Student Participation

The following sections collect data on students participating in Title I, Part A by various student characteristics.

### 2.1.2.1 Student Participation in Public Title I, Part A by Special Services or Programs

In the table below, provide the number of public school students served by either Public Title I SWP or TAS programs at any time during the regular school year for each category listed. Count each student <u>only once</u> in each category even if the student participated during more than one term or in more than one school or district in the State. Count each student in as many of the categories that are applicable to the student. Include pre-kindergarten through grade 12. Do <u>not</u> include the following individuals: (1) adult participants of adult literacy programs funded by Title I, (2) private school students participating in Title I programs operated by local educational agencies, or (3) students served in Part A local neglected programs.

	# Students Served
Children with disabilities (IDEA)	62,453
Limited English proficient students	34,567
Students who are homeless	12,302
Migratory students	685
Comments:	

### 2.1.2.2 Student Participation in Public Title I, Part A by Racial/Ethnic Group

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of public school students served by either public Title I SWP or TAS at any time during the regular school year. Each student should be reported in only one racial/ethnic category. Include pre-kindergarten through grade 12. The total number of students served will be calculated automatically.

Do <u>not</u> include: (1) adult participants of adult literacy programs funded by Title I, (2) private school students participating in Title I programs operated by local educational agencies, or (3) students served in Part A local neglected programs.

Race/Ethnicity	# Students Served	
American Indian or Alaskan Native	82,801	
Asian	5,074	
Black or African American	48,459	
Hispanic or Latino	57,054	
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	3,850	
White	191,273	
Two or more races	10,210	
Total	398,721	
Comments:	·	

### 2.1.2.3 Student Participation in Title I, Part A by Grade Level

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students participating in Title I, Part A programs by grade level and by type of program: Title I public targeted assistance programs (Public TAS), Title I schoolwide programs (Public SWP), private school students participating in Title I programs (private), and Part A local neglected programs (local neglected). The totals column by type of program will be automatically calculated.

Age/Grade	Public TAS	Public SWP	Private	Local Neglected	Total
Age 0-2	0	142	0	2	144
Age 3-5 (not Kindergarten)	109	28,770	1	29	28,909
K	965	39,423	52	78	40,518
1	1,737	39,113	67	112	41,029
2	1,553	37,305	93	142	39,093
3	1,593	36,081	80	182	37,936
4	1,361	34,627	72	167	36,227
5	1,240	34,135	58	215	35,648
6	1,289	28,698	105	210	30,302
7	1,028	27,133	98	273	28,532
8	851	25,575	43	269	26,738
9	416	15,500	14	355	16,285
10	124	14,392	5	280	14,801
11	94	12,821	4	180	13,099
12	71	12,055	3	53	12,182
Ungraded	0	477	0	0	477
TOTALS	12,431	386,247	695	2,547	401,920
omments:			•		

### 2.1.2.4 Student Participation in Title I, Part A Targeted Assistance Programs by Instructional and Support Services

The following sections collect data about the participation of students in TAS.

### 2.1.2.4.1 Student Participation in Title I, Part A Targeted Assistance Programs by Instructional Services

In the table below, provide the number of students receiving each of the listed instructional services through a TAS program funded by Title I, Part A. Students may be reported as receiving more than one instructional service. However, students should be reported only once for each instructional service regardless of the frequency with which they received the service.

	# Students Served
Mathematics	4,873
Reading/language arts	9,175
Science	227
Social studies	227
Vocational/career	0
Other instructional services	164
Comments:	

### 2.1.2.4.2 Student Participation in Title I, Part A Targeted Assistance Programs by Support Services

In the table below, provide the number of students receiving each of the listed support services through a TAS program funded by Title I, Part A. Students may be reported as receiving more than one support service. However, students should be reported only once for each support service regardless of the frequency with which they received the service.

	# Students Served
Health, dental, and eye care	9
Supporting guidance/advocacy	533
Other support services	93
Comments:	

### 2.1.3 Staff Information for Title I, Part A Targeted Assistance Programs (TAS)

In the table below, provide the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff funded by a Title I, Part A TAS in each of the staff categories. For staff who work with both TAS and SWP, report only the FTE attributable to their TAS responsibilities.

For paraprofessionals only, provide the percentage of paraprofessionals who were qualified in accordance with Section 1119 (c) and (d) of *ESEA*.

See the FAQs following the table for additional information.

Staff Category	Staff FTE	Percentage Qualified
Teachers	207	
Paraprofessionals <sup>1</sup>	60	99.6
Other paraprofessionals (translators, parental involvement, computer assistance) <sup>2</sup>	28	
Clerical support staff	10	
Administrators (non-clerical)	6	
Comments:		

FAQs on staff information

- 1. What is a "paraprofessional?" An employee of an LEA who provides instructional support in a program supported with Title I, Part A funds. Instructional support includes the following activities:
  - (1) Providing one-on-one tutoring for eligible students, if the tutoring is scheduled at a time when a student would not otherwise receive instruction from a teacher;
  - (2) Providing assistance with classroom management, such as organizing instructional and other materials;
  - (3) Providing assistance in a computer laboratory;
  - (4) Conducting parental involvement activities;
  - (5) Providing support in a library or media center;
  - (6) Acting as a translator; or
  - (7) Providing instructional services to students.
- 2. What is an GÇ£other paraprofessional?GÇ¥ Paraprofessionals who do not provide instructional support, for example, paraprofessionals who are translators or who work with parental involvement or computer assistance.
- 3. Who is a qualified paraprofessional? A paraprofessional who has (1) completed 2 years of study at an institution of higher education; (2) obtained an associate's (or higher) degree; or (3) met a rigorous standard of quality and been able to demonstrate, through a formal State or local academic assessment, knowledge of and the ability to assist in instructing reading, writing, and mathematics (or, as appropriate, reading readiness, writing readiness, and mathematics readiness) (Sections 1119(c) and (d).) For more information on qualified paraprofessionals, please refer to the Title I paraprofessionals Guidance, available at: http://www.ed.gov/policy/elsec/guid/paraguidance.doc
- <sup>1</sup> Consistent with ESEA, Title I, Section 1119(g)(2).
- <sup>2</sup> Consistent with ESEA, Title I, Section 1119(e).

### 2.1.3.1 Paraprofessional Information for Title I, Part A Schoolwide Programs

In the table below, provide the number of FTE paraprofessionals who served in SWP and the percentage of these paraprofessionals who were qualified in accordance with Section 1119 (c) and (d) of *ESEA*. Use the additional guidance found below the previous table.

	Paraprofessionals FTE	Percentage Qualified
Paraprofessionals <sup>3</sup>	2,077.80	99.6
Comments:		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Consistent with ESEA, Title I, Section 1119(g)(2).

### 2.2 WILLIAM F. GOODLING EVEN START FAMILY LITERACY PROGRAMS (TITLE I, PART B, SUBPART 3)

### 2.2.1 Subgrants and Even Start Program Participants

In the tables below, please provide information requested for the reporting program year July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011.

### 2.2.1.1 Federally Funded Even Start Subgrants in the State

Number of federally funded Even Start subgrants	6
Comments:	

### 2.2.1.2 Even Start Families Participating During the Year

In the table below, provide the number of participants for each of the groups listed below. The following terms apply:

- 1. "Participating" means enrolled and participating in all four core instructional components.
- 2. "Adults" includes teen parents.
- 3. For continuing children, calculate the age of the child on July 1, 2010. For newly enrolled children, calculate their age at the time of enrollment in Even Start.
- 4. Do not use rounding rules to calculate children"s ages .

The total number of participating children will be calculated automatically.

	# Participants			
Families participating	257			
2. Adults participating	290			
3. Adults participating who are limited English proficient (Adult English Learners)	137			
4. Participating children	367			
a. Birth through 2 years	199			
b. Ages 3 through 5	135			
c. Ages 6 through 8	33			
c. Above age 8 0				
Comments:				

### 2.2.1.3 Characteristics of Newly Enrolled Families at the Time of Enrollment

In the table below, provide the number of newly enrolled families for each of the groups listed below. The term "newly enrolled family" means a family who enrolls for the first time in the Even Start project or who had previously been in Even Start and reenrolls during the year.

		#
1.	Number of newly enrolled families	125
2.	Number of newly enrolled adult participants	135
3.	Number of newly enrolled families at or below the federal poverty level at the time of enrollment	125
4.	Number of newly enrolled adult participants without a high school diploma or GED at the time of enrollment	132
5.	Number of newly enrolled adult participants who have not gone beyond the 9 <sup>th</sup> grade at the time of enrollment	57
Co	mments:	•

### 2.2.1.4 Retention of Families

In the table below, provide the number of families who are newly enrolled, those who exited the program during the year, and those continuing in the program. For families who have exited, count the time between the family's start date and exit date. For families continuing to participate, count the time between the family's start date and the end of the reporting year (June 30, 2011). For families who had previously exited Even Start and then enrolled during the reporting year, begin counting from the time of the family's original enrollment date. **Report each family only once in lines 1-4.** Note enrolled families means a family who is participating in all four core instructional components. The total number of families participating will be automatically calculated.

Time in Program				
Number of families enrolled 90 days or less	37			
2. Number of families enrolled more than 90 but less than 180 days	53			
3. Number of families enrolled 180 or more days but less than 365 days				
4. Number of families enrolled 365 days or more				
5. Total families enrolled				
Comments:				

### 2.2.2 Federal Even Start Performance Indicators

This section collects data about the federal Even Start Performance Indicators

### 2.2.2.1 Adults Showing Significant Learning Gains on Measures of Reading

In the table below, provide the number of adults who showed significant learning gains on measures of reading. Only report data from the TABE reading test on the TABE line. Likewise, only report data from the CASAS reading test on the CASAS line. Data from the other TABE or CASAS tests or combination of both tests should be reported on the "other" line.

To be counted under "pre- and post-test", an individual must have completed **both** the pre- and post-tests.

The definition of "significant learning gains" for adult education is determined at the State level either by your State's adult education program in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Education's Office of Vocational and Adult Education (OVAE), or as defined by your Even Start State Performance Indicators.

These instructions/definitions apply to both 2.2.2.1 and 2.2.2.2.

Note: Do not include the Adult English Learners counted in 2.2.2.2.

	# Pre- and Post-Tested	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (if applicable)
TABE	96	68	
CASAS	0	0	
Other	0	0	
Comments:			

### 2.2.2.2 Adult English Learners Showing Significant Learning Gains on Measures of Reading

In the table below, provide the number of Adult English Learners who showed significant learning gains on measures of reading.

	# Pre- and Post-Tested	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (if applicable)
TABE	0	0	
CASAS	0	0	
BEST	0	0	
BEST Plus	76	67	
BEST Literacy	25	15	
Other	0	0	
Comments:			

### 2.2.2.3 Adults Earning a High School Diploma or GED

In the table below, provide the number of school-age and non-school age adults who earned a high school diploma or GED during the reporting year.

The following terms apply:

- 1. "School-age adults" is defined as any parent attending an elementary or secondary school. This also includes those adults within the State's compulsory attendance range who are being served in an alternative school setting, such as directly through the Even Start program.
- 2. "Non-school-age" adults are any adults who do not meet the definition of "school-age."
- 3. Include only the number of adult participants who had a realistic goal of earning a high school diploma or GED. Note that age limitations on taking the GED differ by State, so you should include only those adult participants for whom attainment of a GED or high school diploma is a possibility.

School-Age Adults	# With Goal	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (if applicable)
Diploma	25	21	
GED	0	0	
Other	0	0	
Comments:		·	
Non-School- Age Adults	# With Goal	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (if applicable)
Diploma	59	55	
GED	0	0	
Other	0	0	
Comments:			

### 2.2.2.4 Children Age-Eligible for Kindergarten Who Are Achieving Significant Learning Gains on Measures of Language Development

In the table below, provide the number of children who are achieving significant learning gains on measures of language development.

The following terms apply:

- 1. "Age-Eligible" includes the total number of children who are old enough to enter kindergarten in the school year following the reporting year who have been in Even Start for at least six months.
- 2. "Tested" includes the number of age-eligible children who took both a pre- and post-test with at least 6 months of Even Start service in between.
- 3. A "significant learning gain" is considered to be a standard score increase of 4 or more points.
- 4. "Exempted" includes the number of children who could not take the test (based on the practice items) due to a severe disability or inability to understand the directions.

	# Age-Eligible	# Pre- and Post- Tested	# Who Met Goal	# Exempted	Explanation (if applicable)	
PPVT-III	59	57	47	3		
PPVT-IV	0	0	0	0		
TVIP	0	0	0	0		
<b>Comments:</b> Districts have indicated that some of the students qualified to be tested but missed either the pre- or post-test.						

### 2.2.2.4.1 Children Age-Eligible for Kindergarten Who Demonstrate Age-Appropriate Oral Language Skills

The following terms apply:

- 1. "Age-Eligible" includes the total number of children who are old enough to enter kindergarten in the school year following the reporting year and who have been enrolled in Even Start for at least six months.
- 2. "Tested" includes the number of age-eligible children who took the PPVT-III or TVIP in the spring of or latest test within the reporting year.
- 3. # Who met goal includes children who score a Standard Score of 85 or higher on the spring (or latest test within the reporting year) TVIP, PPVT-III or PPVT-IV
- 4. "Exempted" includes the number of children who could not take the test (based on the practice items) due to a severe disability or inability to understand the directions.

Note: Projects may use the PPVT-III or the PPVT-IV if the PPVT-III is no longer available, but results for the two versions of the assessment should be reported separately.

	# Age-Eligible	# Tested	# Who Met Goal	# Exempted	Explanation (if applicable)		
PPVT-III	60	60	33	1			
PPVT-IV	0	0	0	0			
TVIP	0	0	0	0			
Comments:	Comments: Districts have indicated that some of the students qualified to be tested but missed either the pre- or post-test						

### 2.2.2.5 The Average Number of Letters Children Can Identify as Measured by the PALS Pre-K Upper Case Letter Naming Subtask

In the table below, provide the average number of letters children can identify as measure by PALS subtask.

The following terms apply:

- 1. "Age-Eligible" includes the total number of children who are old enough to enter kindergarten in the school year following the reporting year and who have been enrolled in Even Start for at least six months.
- 2. "Tested" includes the number of age-eligible children who received Even Start services and who took the PALS Pre-K Upper Case Letter Naming Subtask in the spring of 2011 (or latest test within the reporting year).
- 3. "Exempted" includes the number of children exempted from testing due to a severe disability or inability to understand the directions in English.
- 4. "Average number of letters" includes the average score for the children in your State who participated in this assessment. This should be provided as a <u>weighted</u> average (An example of how to calculate a weighted average is included in the program training materials) and rounded to one decimal.

	# Age- Eligible	# Tested	# Exempted	Average Number of Letters (Weighted Average)	Explanation (if applicable)
PALS PreK Upper					
Case	49	46	3	19.6	
Comments:					

### 2.2.2.6 School-Aged Children Reading on Grade Level

In the table below, provide the number of school-age children who read on or above grade level ("met goal"). The source of these data is usually determined by the State and, in some cases, by the school district. Please indicate the source(s) of the data in the "Explanation" field.

Grade	# in Cohort	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (include source of data)
K	9	9	
1	15	11	
2	9	7	
3	13	8	
Comments:			

### 2.2.2.7 Parents Who Show Improvement on Measures of Parental Support for Children's Learning in the Home, School Environment, and Through Interactive Learning Activities

In the table below, provide the number of parents who show improvement ("met goal") on measures of parental support for children's learning in the home, school environment, and through interactive learning activities.

While many states are using the PEP, other assessments of parenting education are acceptable. Please describe results and the source(s) of any non-PEP data in the "Other" field, with appropriate information in the Explanation field.

	# in Cohort	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (if applicable)
PEP Scale I	0	0	
PEP Scale II	0	0	
PEP Scale III	0	0	
PEP Scale IV	0	0	
Other	238	227	
Comments:		·	

### 2.3 EDUCATION OF MIGRANT CHILDREN (TITLE I, PART C)

This section collects data on the Migrant Education Program (Title I, Part C) for the reporting period of September 1, 2010 through August 31, 2011. This section is composed of the following subsections:

- Population data of eligible migrant children;
- Academic data of eligible migrant students;
- Participation data of migrant children served during either the regular school year, summer/intersession term, or program year;
- · School data;
- Project data;
- Personnel data.

Where the table collects data by age/grade, report children in the highest age/grade that they attained during the reporting period. For example, a child who turns 3 during the reporting period would only be reported in the "Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)" row.

FAQs in section 1.10 contain definitions of out-of-school and ungraded that are used in this section.

### 2.3.1 Population Data

The following questions collect data on eligible migrant children.

### 2.3.1.1 Eligible Migrant Children

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **eligible** migrant children by age/grade. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Eligible Migrant Children
Age birth through 2	27
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	69
K	53
1	58
2	54
3	49
4	55
5	60
6	77
7	64
8	43
9	53
10	41
11	34
12	46
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	21
Total	804

**Comments:** Blanks should be interpreted as zeros. The Oklahoma Migrant Education Program collects this data; however, no students in this category were reported.

### 2.3.1.2 Priority for Services

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **eligible** migrant children who have been classified as having "Priority for Services." The total is calculated automatically. Below the table is a FAQ about the data collected in this table.

Age/Grade	Priority for Services
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	5
K	10
1	7
2	8
3	5
4	5
5	8
6	8
7	1
8	4
9	8
10	6
11	4
12	2
Ungraded	
Out-of-school	
Total	81
Comments: Blanks should be interpreted as zeros.	

### FAQ on priority for services:

Who is classified as having "priority for service?" Migratory children who are failing or most at risk of failing to meet the State's challenging academic content standards and student academic achievement standards, <u>and</u> whose education has been interrupted during the regular school year.

### 2.3.1.3 Limited English Proficient

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **eligible** migrant children who are also limited English proficient (LEP). The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Limited English Proficient (LEP)
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	8
K	14
1	19
2	15
3	12
4	17
5	8
6	13
7	9
8	7
9	8
10	8
11	3
12	4
Ungraded	
Out-of-school	
Total	145

**Comments:** Blanks should be interpreted as zeros. The Oklahoma Migrant Education Program collects this data; however, no students in this category were reported.

### 2.3.1.4 Children with Disabilities (IDEA)

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **eligible** migrant children who are also Children with Disabilities (*IDEA*) under Part B or Part C of the *IDEA*. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Children with Disabilities (IDEA)
Age birth through 2	
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	
K	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
Ungraded	
Out-of-school	
Total	

**Comments:** As of April 2012, this data has not been collected in MIS2000, Oklahoma's migrant education student database. Oklahoma's Office of Migrant Education has added children with disabilities to the supplemental programs list and will begin collecting this data effective May 2012.

### 2.3.1.5 Last Qualifying Move

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **eligible** migrant children by when the last qualifying move occurred. The months are calculated from the last day of the reporting period, August 31, 2010. The totals are calculated automatically.

	Last Qualifying Move Is within X months from the last day of the reporting period			
Age/Grade	12 Months	Previous 13 – 24 Months	Previous 25 – 36 Months	Previous 37 – 48 Months
Age birth through 2	17	9	1	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	32	26	8	3
K	23	20	5	5
1	24	15	14	5
2	25	14	10	5
3	17	21	9	2
4	21	16	12	6
5	25	12	14	9
6	22	34	11	10
7	15	28	17	4
8	16	13	11	3
9	22	18	9	4
10	12	15	9	5
11	18	8	7	1
12	18	11	10	7
Ungraded				
Out-of-school	8	11	2	0
Total	315	271	149	69

**Comments:** Blanks should be interpreted as zeros. The Oklahoma Migrant Education Program collects this data; however, no students in this category were reported.

### 2.3.1.6 Qualifying Move During Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **eligible** migrant children with any qualifying move during the regular school year within the previous 36 months calculated from the last day of the reporting period, August 31, 2010. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Move During Regular School Year
Age birth through 2	20
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	46
K	26
1	22
2	23
3	29
4	20
5	25
6	37
7	27
8	21
9	22
10	8
11	11
12	15
Ungraded	
Out-of-school	17
Total	369

**Comments:** Blanks should be interpreted as zeros. The number of districts participating in the Oklahoma Migrant Education Program has declined as is evidenced by a drop in the number of students making a qualifying move during the regular school year. In addition, Oklahoma has more students moving away or settling out of the program than students entering.

### 2.3.2 Academic Status

The following questions collect data about the academic status of eligible migrant students.

### **2.3.2.1 Dropouts**

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **eligible** migrant students who dropped out of school. The total is calculated automatically.

Grade	Dropped Out
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
Ungraded	
Total	

**Comments:** The school districts identify dropouts both during the year and at the end of the year. They indicate dropouts on the Certificate of Eligibility (COE) and/or on the end of year reports. In February 2012, each school district was contacted this year by phone to verify that no mistake was made, and each district again reported zero dropouts.

### **FAQ on Dropouts:**

How is "dropped out of school" defined? The term used for students, who, during the reporting period, were enrolled in a public school for at least one day, but who subsequently left school with no plans on returning to enroll in a school and continue toward a high school diploma. Students who dropped out-of-school prior to the 2009-10 reporting period should be classified NOT as "dropped-out-of-school" but as "out-of-school youth."

### 2.3.2.2 GED

In the table below, provide the total <u>unduplicated</u> number of **eligible** migrant students who obtained a General Education Development (GED) Certificate in your state.

Obtained a GED in your state	0
Comments:	

### 2.3.2.3 Participation in State Assessments

The following questions collect data about the participation of eligible migrant students in State Assessments.

### 2.3.2.3.1 Reading/Language Arts Participation

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **eligible** migrant students enrolled in school during the State testing window and tested by the State reading/language arts assessment by grade level. The totals are calculated automatically.

Grade	Enrolled	Tested
3	41	40
4	42	42
5	83	83
6	59	59
7	51	50
8	52	51
HS	31	29
Total	359	354
Comments:		

### 2.3.2.3.2 Mathematics Participation

This section is similar to 2.3.2.3.1. The only difference is that this section collects data on migrant students and the State's mathematics assessment.

Grade	Enrolled	Tested
3	42	42
4	43	43
5	85	85
6	60	60
7	52	51
8	55	55
HS	30	30
Total	367	366
Comments:		

### 2.3.3 MEP Participation Data

The following questions collect data about the participation of migrant students served during the regular school year, summer/intersession term, or program year.

Unless otherwise indicated, participating migrant children include:

- Children who received instructional or support services funded in whole or in part with MEP funds.
- Children who received a MEP-funded service, even those children who continued to receive services (1) during the term their eligibility ended, (2) for one additional school year after their eligibility ended, if comparable services were not available through other programs, and (3) in secondary school after their eligibility ended, and served through credit accrual programs until graduation (e.g., children served under the continuation of services authority, Section 1304(e)(1–3)).

### Do not include:

- Children who were served through a Title I SWP where MEP funds were consolidated with those of other programs.
- Children who were served by a "referred" service only.

### 2.3.3.1 MEP Participation - Regular School Year

The following questions collect data on migrant children who participated in the MEP during the **regular** school year. Do <u>not</u> include:

• Children who were only served during the summer/intersession term.

### 2.3.3.1.1 MEP Students Served During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who received MEP-funded instructional or support services during the regular school year. Do **not** count the number of times an individual child received a service intervention. The total number of students served is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Served During Regular School Year
Age Birth through 2	
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	18
K	28
1	33
2	24
3	27
4	31
5	26
6	38
7	41
8	22
9	23
10	23
11	16
12	13
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	363
Comments: Blanks should be interpreted as zeros.	

### 2.3.3.1.2 Priority for Services – During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who have been classified as having "priority for services" and who received instructional or support services during the regular school year. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Priority for Services
Age 3	
through 5	10
K	14
1	12
2	9
3	4
4	6
5	5
6	9
7	7
8	5
9	8
10	6
11	3
12	1
Ungraded	0
Out-of-	
school	0
Total	99
Comments:	This number fluctuates yearly based upon those students who qualify as priority for service.

### 2.3.3.1.3 Continuation of Services - During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who received instructional or support services during the regular school year served under the continuation of services authority Sections 1304(e)(2)–(3). Do **not** include children served under Section 1304(e)(1), which are children whose eligibility expired during the school term. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Continuation of Services
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	
К	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
Ungraded	
Out-of-school	
Total	

**Comments:** Blanks should be interpreted as zeros. There were no participating migrant children served under the continuation of services authority Sections 1304(e)(2)-(3).

### 2.3.3.1.4 Services

The following questions collect data on the services provided to participating migrant children during the regular school year.

### **FAQ on Services:**

What are services? Services are a subset of all allowable activities that the MEP can provide through its programs and projects. "Services" are those educational or educationally related activities that: (1) directly benefit a migrant child; (2) address a need of a migrant child consistent with the SEA's comprehensive needs assessment and service delivery plan; (3) are grounded in scientifically based research or, in the case of support services, are a generally accepted practice; and (4) are designed to enable the program to meet its measurable outcomes and contribute to the achievement of the State's performance targets. Activities related to identification and recruitment activities, parental involvement, program evaluation, professional development, or administration of the program are examples of allowable activities that are <u>not</u> considered services. Other examples of an allowable activity that would <u>not</u> be considered a service would be the one-time act of providing instructional packets to a child or family, and handing out leaflets to migrant families on available reading programs as part of an effort to increase the reading skills of migrant children. Although these are allowable activities, they are not services because they do not meet all of the criteria above.

### 2.3.3.1.4.1 Instructional Service – During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who received <u>any</u> type of MEP-funded instructional service during the regular school year. Include children who received instructional services provided by <u>either a teacher or a paraprofessional</u>. Children should be reported only once regardless of the frequency with which they received a service intervention. The total is calculated automatically.

Age birth through 2 Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten) 17  K 27  1 28 2 25 3 22 4 26 5 21 6 32 7 37 8 19 9 22	
K 27 1 28 2 25 3 22 4 26 5 21 6 32 7 37 8 19	
1     28       2     25       3     22       4     26       5     21       6     32       7     37       8     19	
2 25 3 22 4 26 5 21 6 32 7 37 8 19	
3 22 4 26 5 21 6 32 7 37 8 19	
4 26 5 21 6 32 7 37 8 19	
5 21 6 32 7 37 8 19	
6 32 7 37 8 19	
7 37 8 19	
8 19	
9 22	
2	
10 22	
11 15	
12 12	
Ungraded	
Out-of-school	
Total 325	

### 2.3.3.1.4.2 Type of Instructional Service

In the table below, provide the number of **participating** migrant children reported in the table above who received reading instruction, mathematics instruction, or high school credit accrual during the regular school year. Include children who received such instructional services provided by <u>a teacher only</u>. Children may be reported as having received more than one type of instructional service in the table. However, children should be reported only once within each type of instructional service that they received regardless of the frequency with which they received the instructional service. The totals are calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Reading Instruction	Mathematics Instruction	High School Credit Accrual
Age birth through 2			
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)			
K	19	19	
1	19	19	
2	18	18	
3	8	10	
4	10	10	
5	12	12	
6	21	20	
7	8	21	
8	2	13	
9	2	15	16
10	0	11	10
11	0	7	7
12	1	9	4
Ungraded			
Out-of-school			
Total	120	184	37

**Comments:** Some students were provided with instructional services other than reading and math. These instructional services include the following: writing, spelling, computer science, English as a second language (ESL), and health services.

### FAQ on Types of Instructional Services:

What is "high school credit accrual"? Instruction in courses that accrue credits needed for high school graduation provided by a teacher for students on a regular or systematic basis, usually for a predetermined period of time. Includes correspondence courses taken by a student under the supervision of a teacher.

### 2.3.3.1.4.3 Support Services with Breakout for Counseling Service

In the table below, in the column titled **Support Services**, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who received <u>any</u> MEP-funded support service during the regular school year. In the column titled **Counseling Service**, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who received a counseling service during the regular school year. Children should be reported only once in each column regardless of the frequency with which they received a support service intervention. The totals are calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Children Receiving Support Services	Breakout of Children Receiving Counseling Service
Age birth through 2		
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	2	2
K	1	1
1	7	7
2	5	4
3	4	4
4	4	4
5	0	0
6	5	5
7	14	8
8	7	5
9	6	4
10	12	5
11	5	2
12	6	6
Ungraded		
Out-of-school		
Total	78	57

**Comments:** The number of children receiving counseling services increased from the prior year due to school districts hiring migrant education graduation coaches, who work only with migrant students and their families. These coaches work with students and families to formulate educational, personal, and occupational plans.

### **FAQs on Support Services:**

- a. What are support services? These MEP-funded services include, but are not limited to, health, nutrition, counseling, and social services for migrant families; necessary educational supplies, and transportation. The one-time act of providing instructional or informational packets to a child or family does not constitute a support service.
- b. What are counseling services? Services to help a student to better identify and enhance his or her educational, personal, or occupational potential; relate his or her abilities, emotions, and aptitudes to educational and career opportunities; utilize his or her abilities in formulating realistic plans; and achieve satisfying personal and social development. These activities take place between one or more counselors and one or more students as counselees, between students and students, and between counselors and other staff members. The services can also help the child address life problems or personal crisis that result from the culture of migrancy.

### 2.3.3.1.4.4 Referred Service – During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who, during the regular school year, received an educational or educationally related service funded by another non-MEP program/organization that they would not have otherwise received without efforts supported by MEP funds. Children should be reported only once regardless of the frequency with which they received a referred service. Include children who were served by a referred service only or who received both a referred service and MEP-funded services. <u>Do not include children who were referred, but received no services</u>. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Referred Service
Age birth through 2	
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	2
K	1
1	7
2	4
3	5
4	4
5	1
6	5
7	9
8	7
9	5
10	5
11	2
12	7
Ungraded	
Out-of-school	
Total	64

**Comments:** Blanks should be interpreted as zeros. The Office of Migrant Education, OSDE, has continued to encourage districts to provide non-instructional services to migrant students through technical assistance.

### 2.3.3.2 MEP Participation – Summer/Intersession Term

The questions in this subsection are similar to the questions in the previous section with one difference. The questions in this subsection collect data on the **summer/intersession term** instead of the regular school year.

### 2.3.3.2.1 MEP Students Served During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who received MEP-funded instructional or support services during the summer/intersession term. Do <u>not</u> count the number of times an individual child received a service intervention. The total number of students served is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Served During Summer/Intersession Term
Age Birth through 2	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	0
K	7
1	7
2	6
3	12
4	8
5	8
6	11
7	7
8	3
9	0
10	1
11	0
12	0
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	70

**Comments:** The number of participating districts offering summer term services during the reporting period increased, resulting in an overall increase in the number of migrant students who were served.

# 2.3.3.2.2 Priority for Services – During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who have been classified as having "priority for services" and who received instructional or support services during the summer/intersession term. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Priority for Services
Age 3	
through 5	
K	
1	1
2	1
3	
4	
5	
6	1
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
Ungraded	
Out-of-	
school	
Total	3
Cammanta	Displication of a property of participating districts offering automorphisms districts

**Comments:** Blanks should be interpreted as zeros. The number of participating districts offering summer term services during the reporting period increased, resulting in an overall increase in the number of migrant students who were served.

# 2.3.3.2.3 Continuation of Services – During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who received instructional or support services during the summer/intersession term served under the continuation of services authority Sections 1304(e)(2)–(3). Do **not** include children served under Section 1304(e)(1), which are children whose eligibility expired during the school term. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Continuation of Services
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	
K	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
Ungraded	
Out-of-school	
Total	

**Comments:** Blanks should be interpreted as zeros. There were no participating migrant children served under the continuation of services authority Sections 1304(e)(2)-(3).

#### 2.3.3.2.4 Services

The following questions collect data on the services provided to participating migrant children during the summer/intersession term.

#### **FAQ on Services:**

What are services? Services are a subset of all allowable activities that the MEP can provide through its programs and projects. "Services" are those educational or educationally related activities that: (1) directly benefit a migrant child; (2) address a need of a migrant child consistent with the SEA's comprehensive needs assessment and service delivery plan; (3) are grounded in scientifically based research or, in the case of support services, are a generally accepted practice; and (4) are designed to enable the program to meet its measurable outcomes and contribute to the achievement of the State's performance targets. Activities related to identification and recruitment activities, parental involvement, program evaluation, professional development, or administration of the program are examples of allowable activities that are NOT considered services. Other examples of an allowable activity that would not be considered a service would be the one-time act of providing instructional packets to a child or family, and handing out leaflets to migrant families on available reading programs as part of an effort to increase the reading skills of migrant children. Although these are allowable activities, they are not services because they do not meet all of the criteria above.

#### 2.3.3.2.4.1 Instructional Service - During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who received <u>any</u> type of MEP-funded instructional service during the summer/intersession term. Include children who received instructional services provided by <u>either a teacher or a paraprofessional</u>. Children should be reported only once regardless of the frequency with which they received a service intervention. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Children Receiving an Instructional Service
Age birth through 2	
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	
K	7
1	7
2	6
3	12
4	8
5	8
6	11
7	7
8	3
9	0
10	1
11	0
12	0
Ungraded	
Out-of-school	
Total	70

**Comments:** Blanks should be interpreted as zeros. The number of participating districts that offer summer term services during the reporting period increased resulting in an overall increase in the number of migrant students served.

#### 2.3.3.2.4.2 Type of Instructional Service

In the table below, provide the number of **participating** migrant children reported in the table above who received reading instruction, mathematics instruction, or high school credit accrual during the summer/intersession term. Include children who received such instructional services provided by <u>a teacher only</u>. Children may be reported as having received more than one type of instructional service in the table. However, children should be reported only once within each type of instructional service that they received regardless of the frequency with which they received the instructional service. The totals are calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Reading Instruction	Mathematics Instruction	High School Credit Accrual
Age birth through 2			
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	0	0	
K	0	0	
1	5	5	
2	6	6	
3	10	10	
4	8	8	
5	4	4	
6	7	7	
7	3	3	
8	0	0	
9	0	0	0
10	0	0	0
11	0	0	0
12	0	0	0
Ungraded			
Out-of-school			
Total	43	43	0

**Comments:** Oklahoma's Office of Migrant Education (OMEP) has been unable to access its migrant student database to verify numbers for CSPR Part II. This database, MIS2000, has been offline this time for approximately one week. OMEP's data verification was completed through data files saved on the migrant education coordinator's computer. There should be no comment for this table. Instead, OMEP is submitting the following data through the EDEN system: Kindergarten = 6 in Reading Instruction and 6 in Mathematics Instruction, Grade 1 = 5 in Reading Instruction and 5 in Mathematics Instruction, Grade 2 = 6 in Reading Instruction and 6 in Mathematics Instruction, Grade 3 = 10 in Reading Instruction and 10 in Mathematics Instruction, Grade 4 = 8 in Reading Instruction and 8 in Mathematics Instruction, Grade 5 = 4 in Reading Instruction and 4 in Mathematics Instruction, Grade 6 = 7 in Reading Instruction and 7 in Mathematics Instruction, and Grade 7 = 3 in Reading Instruction and 3 in Mathematics Instruction. Ages and grades not listed should be entered as zero. The total for both columns should be entered as 49. The High School Credit Accrual Column should reflect all zeros in all rows.

#### **FAQ on Types of Instructional Services:**

What is "high school credit accrual"? Instruction in courses that accrue credits needed for high school graduation provided by a <u>teacher</u> for students on a regular or systematic basis, usually for a predetermined period of time. Includes correspondence courses taken by a student under the supervision of a teacher.

## 2.3.3.2.4.3 Support Services with Breakout for Counseling Service

In the table below, in the column titled **Support Services**, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who received <u>any</u> MEP-funded support service during the summer/intersession term. In the column titled **Counseling Service**, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who received a counseling service during the summer/intersession term. Children should be reported only once in each column regardless of the frequency with which they received a support service intervention. The totals are calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Children Receiving Support Services	Breakout of Children Receiving Counseling Service
Age birth through 2		
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	0	0
K	0	0
1	0	0
2	0	0
3	0	0
4	0	0
5	0	0
6	0	0
7	0	0
8	1	0
9	0	0
10	0	0
11	0	0
12	0	0
Ungraded		
Out-of-school		
Total	1	0

**Comments:** Oklahoma's Office of Migrant Education (OMEP) has been unable to access its migrant student database to verify numbers for CSPR Part II. This database, MIS2000, has been offline this time for approximately one week. OMEP's data verification was completed through data files saved on the migrant education coordinator's computer. There should be no comment for this table. Instead, OMEP is submitting the following data through the EDEN system: All data should be entered as zeros except for Grade 8 Support Services. This should be entered as one student.

#### **FAQs on Support Services:**

- a. What are support services? These MEP-funded services include, but are not limited to, health, nutrition, counseling, and social services for migrant families; necessary educational supplies, and transportation. The one-time act of providing instructional or informational packets to a child or family does not constitute a support service.
- b. What are counseling services? Services to help a student to better identify and enhance his or her educational, personal, or occupational potential; relate his or her abilities, emotions, and aptitudes to educational and career opportunities; utilize his or her abilities in formulating realistic plans; and achieve satisfying personal and social development. These activities take place between one or more counselors and one or more students as counselees, between students and students, and between counselors and other staff members. The services can also help the child address life problems or personal crisis that result from the culture of migrancy.

## 2.3.3.2.4.4 Referred Service – During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who, during the summer/intersession term, received an educational or educationally related service funded by another non-MEP program/organization that they would not have otherwise received without efforts supported by MEP funds. Children should be reported only once regardless of the frequency with which they received a referred service. Include children who were served by a referred service only or who received both a referred service and MEP-funded services. <u>Do not include children who were referred, but received no services</u>. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Referred Service
Age birth through 2	
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	0
K	0
1	0
2	0
3	0
4	0
5	0
6	0
7	0
8	0
9	0
10	0
11	0
12	0
Ungraded	
Out-of-school	
Total	0

**Comments:** Oklahoma's Office of Migrant Education (OMEP) has been unable to access its migrant student database to verify numbers for CSPR Part II. This database, MIS2000, has been offline this time for approximately one week. OMEP's data verification was completed through data files saved on the migrant education coordinator's computer. There should be no comment for this table. Instead, OMEP is submitting the following data through the EDEN system: All rows and all columns in this table should be entered as zeros.

# 2.3.3.3 MEP Participation – Program Year

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who received MEP-funded instructional or support services at any time during the program year. Do <u>not</u> count the number of times an individual child received a service intervention. The total number of students served is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Served During the Program Year
Age Birth through 2	
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	18
K	28
1	33
2	24
3	27
4	31
5	26
6	38
7	41
8	22
9	23
10	23
11	16
12	13
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	363
Comments: Blanks should be interpreted as zeros.	

#### 2.3.4 School Data

The following questions are about the enrollment of eligible migrant children in schools during the regular school year.

#### 2.3.4.1 Schools and Enrollment

In the table below, provide the number of public schools that enrolled **eligible** migrant children at any time during the <u>regular school year</u>. Schools include public schools that serve school age (e.g., grades K through 12) children. Also, provide the number of **eligible** migrant children who were enrolled in those schools. Since more than one school in a State may enroll the same migrant child at some time during the year, the number of children may include duplicates.

	#
Number of schools that enrolled eligible migrant children	62
Number of eligible migrant children enrolled in those schools	697
Comments:	

#### 2.3.4.2 Schools Where MEP Funds Were Consolidated in Schoolwide Programs

In the table below, provide the number of schools where MEP funds were consolidated in an SWP. Also, provide the number of **eligible** migrant children who were enrolled in those schools at any time during the <u>regular school year</u>. Since more than one school in a State may enroll the same migrant child at some time during the year, the number of children may include duplicates.

	#
Number of schools where MEP funds were consolidated in a schoolwide program	
Number of eligible migrant children enrolled in those schools	

Comments: Oklahoma's Office of Migrant Education (OMEP) has been unable to access its migrant student database to verify numbers for CSPR Part II. This database, MIS2000, has been offline this time for approximately one week. OMEP's data verification was completed through data files saved on the migrant education coordinator's computer. OMEP is submitting the following data through the EDEN system: Zero schools consolidated MEP funds in a schoolwide program. Zero eligible migrant children enrolled in those schools. As defined by MEP, there are no "schoolwide" schools in Oklahoma. The traditional definition of "schoolwide" does not apply to all federal programs in Oklahoma. Schoolwide only applies to the programmatic side of Title I-A. This means that all students in a schoolwide school qualify for and receive services. The other alternative under Title I-A is "targeted assistance." In this method, students are rank ordered. The students with the most need receive services. In Oklahoma, schools are either "schoolwide" or "targeted assistance." Title I-C funds are not combined with Title I-A funds at the school or district level to carry out a schoolwide program as is traditionally thought of in federal terms. Migrant students are served first under Title I-A and second under Title I-C.

#### 2.3.5 MEP Project Data

The following questions collect data on MEP projects.

# 2.3.5.1 Type of MEP Project

In the table below, provide the number of projects that are funded in whole or in part with MEP funds. A MEP project is the entity that receives MEP funds by a subgrant from the State or through an intermediate entity that receives the subgrant <u>and</u> provides services directly to the migrant child. Do not include projects where MEP funds were consolidated in SWP.

Also, provide the number of migrant children **participating** in the projects. Since children may participate in more than one project, the number of children may include duplicates.

Below the table are FAQs about the data collected in this table.

Type of MEP Project	Number of MEP Projects	Number of Migrant Children Participating in the Projects
Regular school year – school day only	18	389
Regular school year – school day/extended day	0	0
Summer/intersession only	5	70
Year round	0	0

**Comments:** More migrant projects chose to apply for additional funds to conduct a summer intercession for migrant students. A rise in the number of migrant-funded summer projects directly correlates to the drastic rise in participating migrant students. Even though the state's number of projects decreased from 26 to 18, the number of migrant students recruited by the remaining projects rose by 175 students. This can be directly attributed to the Oklahoma MEP training program's focus on quality identification and recruitment.

#### FAQs on type of MEP project:

- a. What is a project? A project is any entity that receives MEP funds either as a subgrantee or from a subgrantee and provides services directly to migrant children in accordance with the State Service Delivery Plan and State approved subgrant applications. A project's services may be provided in one or more sites.
- b. What are Regular School Year School Day Only projects? Projects where all MEP services are provided during the school day during the regular school year.
- c. What are Regular School Year School Day/Extended Day projects? Projects where some or all MEP services are provided during an extended day or week during the regular school year (e.g., some services are provided during the school day and some outside of the school day; e.g., all services are provided outside of the school day).
- d. What are Summer/Intersession Only projects? Projects where all MEP services are provided during the summer/intersession term.
- e. What are Year Round projects? Projects where all MEP services are provided during the regular school year and summer/intersession term.

#### 2.3.6 MEP Personnel Data

The following questions collect data on MEP personnel data.

# 2.3.6.1 Key MEP Personnel

The following questions collect data about the key MEP personnel.

#### 2.3.6.1.1 MEP State Director

In the table below, provide the FTE amount of time the State director performs MEP duties (<u>regardless of whether the director is funded by State, MEP, or other funds</u>) during the reporting period (e.g., September 1 through August 31). Below the table are FAQs about the data collected in this table.

State Director FTE	0.50
Comments:	

#### FAQs on the MEP State director

- a. How is the FTE calculated for the State director? Calculate the FTE using the number of days worked for the MEP. To do so, first define how many full-time days constitute one FTE for the State director in your State for the reporting period. To calculate the FTE number, sum the total days the State director worked for the MEP during the reporting period and divide this sum by the number of full-time days that constitute one FTE in the reporting period.
- b. Who is the State director? The manager within the SEA who administers the MEP on a statewide basis.

# 2.3.6.1.2 MEP Staff

In the table below, provide the headcount and FTE by job classification of the staff <u>funded by the MEP</u>. Do **not** include staff employed in SWP where MEP funds were combined with those of other programs. Below the table are FAQs about the data collected in this table.

	Regular School	Regular School Year		Summer/Intersession Term	
Job Classification	Headcount	FTE	Headcount	FTE	
Teachers	22	10	14	14	
Counselors	3	0	0	0	
All paraprofessionals	59	31	7	7	
Recruiters	26	14	0	0	
Records transfer staff	4	1	3	3	
Administrators					

**Comments:** The number of school district staff paid with migrant funds in the 2010-2011 school year showed an increase over the 2009-2010 school year. The number of migrant programs in the state declined in the state during the same time, resulting in an increase in funding. This increase in dollars allowed districts to expand their services by increasing personnel.

**Note:** The Headcount value displayed represents the greatest <u>whole number</u> submitted in file specification N/X065 for the corresponding Job Classification. For example, an ESS submitted value of 9.8 will be represented in your CSPR as 9.

#### FAQs on MEP staff:

- a. How is the FTE calculated? The FTE may be calculated using one of two methods:
  - 1. To calculate the FTE, in each job category, sum the percentage of time that staff were funded by the MEP and enter the total FTE for that category.
  - 2. Calculate the FTE using the number of days worked. To do so, first define how many full-time days constitute one FTE for each job classification in your State for each term. (For example, one regular-term FTE may equal 180 full-time (8 hour) work days; one summer term FTE may equal 30 full-time work days; or one intersession FTE may equal 45 full-time work days split between three 15-day non-contiguous blocks throughout the year.) To calculate the FTE number, sum the total days the individuals worked in a particular job classification for a term and divide this sum by the number of full-time days that constitute one FTE in that term.
- b. Who is a teacher? A classroom instructor who is licensed and meets any other teaching requirements in the State.
- c. Who is a counselor? A professional staff member who guides individuals, families, groups, and communities by assisting them in problem-solving, decision-making, discovering meaning, and articulating goals related to personal, educational, and career development.
- d. Who is a paraprofessional? An individual who: (1) provides one-on-one tutoring if such tutoring is scheduled at a time when a student would not otherwise receive instruction from a teacher; (2) assists with classroom management, such as organizing instructional and other materials; (3) provides instructional assistance in a computer laboratory; (4) conducts parental involvement activities; (5) provides support in a library or media center; (6) acts as a translator; or (7) provides instructional support services under the direct supervision of a teacher (Title I, Section 1119(g)(2)). Because a paraprofessional provides instructional support, he/she should not be providing planned direct instruction or introducing to students new skills, concepts, or academic content. Individuals who work in food services, cafeteria or playground supervision, personal care services, non-instructional computer assistance, and similar positions are not considered paraprofessionals under Title I.
- Who is a recruiter? A staff person responsible for identifying and recruiting children as eligible for the MEP and documenting their eligibility on the Certificate of Eligibility.
- f. Who is a record transfer staffer? An individual who is responsible for entering, retrieving, or sending student records from or to another school or student records system.
- g. Who is an administrator? A professional staff member, including the project director or regional director. The SEA MEP Director should not be included.

## 2.3.6.1.3 Qualified Paraprofessionals

In the table below, provide the headcount and FTE of the qualified paraprofessionals funded by the MEP. Do **not** include staff employed in SWP where MEP funds were combined with those of other programs. Below the table are FAQs about the data collected in this table.

	Regular School	Year	Summer/Intersession Term	
	Headcount	FTE	Headcount	FTE
Qualified Paraprofessionals	56	33.00	7	7.00

**Comments:** The number of school district personnel paid with migrant funds has shown an increase. The number of migrant programs in the state declined, resulting in an increase in funding to the remaining districts. This increase in district funding allowed districts to expand and improve available services by increasing the number of personnel.

#### FAQs on qualified paraprofessionals:

- a. How is the FTE calculated? The FTE may be calculated using one of two methods:
  - 1. To calculate the FTE, sum the percentage of time that staff were funded by the MEP and enter the total FTE for that category.
  - 2. Calculate the FTE using the number of days worked. To do so, first define how many full-time days constitute one FTE in your State for each term. (For example, one regular-term FTE may equal 180 full-time (8 hour) work days; one summer term FTE may equal 30 full-time work days; or one intersession FTE may equal 45 full-time work days split between three 15-day non-contiguous blocks throughout the year.) To calculate the FTE number, sum the total days the individuals worked for a term and divide this sum by the number of full-time days that constitute one FTE in that term.
- b. Who is a qualified paraprofessional? A qualified paraprofessional must have a secondary school diploma or its recognized equivalent and have (1) completed 2 years of study at an institution of higher education; (2) obtained an associate's (or higher) degree; or (3) met a rigorous standard of quality and be able to demonstrate, through a formal State or local academic assessment, knowledge of and the ability to assist in instructing reading, writing, and mathematics (or, as appropriate, reading readiness, writing readiness, and mathematics readiness) (Sections 1119(c) and (d) of ESEA).

# 2.4 PREVENTION AND INTERVENTION PROGRAMS FOR CHILDREN AND YOUTH WHO ARE NEGLECTED, DELINQUENT, OR AT RISK (TITLE I, PART D, SUBPARTS 1 AND 2)

This section collects data on programs and facilities that serve students who are neglected, delinquent, or at risk under Title I, Part D, and characteristics about and services provided to these students.

## Throughout this section:

- Report data for the program year of July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011.
- Count programs/facilities based on how the program was classified to ED for funding purposes.
- Do not include programs funded solely through Title I, Part A.
- Use the definitions listed below:
  - Adult Corrections: An adult correctional institution is a facility in which persons, including persons 21 or under, are confined as a result of conviction for a criminal offense.
  - At-Risk Programs: Programs operated (through LEAs) that target students who are at risk of academic failure, have a drug or alcohol problem, are pregnant or parenting, have been in contact with the juvenile justice system in the past, are at least 1 year behind the expected age/grade level, have limited English proficiency, are gang members, have dropped out of school in the past, or have a high absenteeism rate at school.
  - Juvenile Corrections: An institution for delinquent children and youth is a public or private residential facility other than a foster home that is operated for the care of children and youth who have been adjudicated delinquent or in need of supervision. Include any programs serving adjudicated youth (including non-secure facilities and group homes) in this category.
  - Juvenile Detention Facilities: Detention facilities are shorter-term institutions that provide care to children who
    require secure custody pending court adjudication, court disposition, or execution of a court order, or care to
    children after commitment.
  - Multiple Purpose Facility: An institution/facility/program that serves more than one programming purpose. For example, the same facility may run both a juvenile correction program and a juvenile detention program.
  - Neglected Programs: An institution for neglected children and youth is a public or private residential facility, other than a foster home, that is operated primarily for the care of children who have been committed to the institution or voluntarily placed under applicable State law due to abandonment, neglect, or death of their parents or guardians.
  - Other: Any other programs, not defined above, which receive Title I, Part D funds and serve <u>non-adjudicated</u> <u>children</u> and youth.

#### 2.4.1 State Agency Title I, Part D Programs and Facilities – Subpart 1

The following questions collect data on Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs and facilities.

#### 2.4.1.1 Programs and Facilities - Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the number of State agency Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs and facilities that serve neglected and delinquent students and the average length of stay by program/facility type, for these students. Report only programs and facilities that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 funding during the reporting year. Count a facility once if it offers only one type of program. If a facility offers more than one type of program (i.e., it is a multipurpose facility), then count each of the separate programs. Make sure to identify the number of multipurpose facilities that were included in the facility/program count in the second table. The total number of programs/facilities will be automatically calculated. Below the table is a FAQ about the data collected in this table.

State Program/Facility Type	# Programs/Facilities	Average Length of Stay in Days
Neglected programs	0	0
Juvenile detention	0	0
Juvenile corrections	0	0
Adult corrections	19	227
Other	0	0
Total	19	227

How many of the programs listed in the table above are in a multiple purpose facility?

	#
Programs in a multiple purpose facility	0
Comments:	

#### FAQ on Programs and Facilities - Subpart I:

How is average length of stay calculated? The average length of stay should be weighted by number of students and should include the number of days, per visit, for each student enrolled during the reporting year, regardless of entry or exit date. Multiple visits for students who entered more than once during the reporting year can be included. The average length of stay in days should not exceed 365.

#### 2.4.1.1.1 Programs and Facilities That Reported - Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the number of State agency programs/facilities that reported data on neglected and delinquent students.

The total row will be automatically calculated.

State Program/Facility Type	# Reporting Data
Neglected Programs	0
Juvenile Detention	0
Juvenile Corrections	0
Adult Corrections	19
Other	0
Total	19
Comments:	

# 2.4.1.2 Students Served - Subpart 1

In the tables below, provide the number of neglected and delinquent students served in State agency Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs and facilities. Report only students who received Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 services during the reporting year. In the first table, provide in row 1 the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students served by each program, and in row 2, the total number of students in row 1 that are long-term. In the subsequent tables provide the number of students served by race/ethnicity, by sex, and by age. The total number of students by race/ethnicity, by sex and by age will be automatically calculated.

# of Students Served	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Total Unduplicated Students Served				1,002	
Long Term Students Served				815	

	Neglected	Juvenile	Juvenile	Adult	Other
Race/Ethnicity	Programs	Detention	Corrections	Corrections	Programs
American Indian or Alaskan Native				112	
Asian				5	
Black or African American				402	
Hispanic or Latino				114	
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander				0	
White				365	
Two or more races				4	
Total				1,002	

Sex	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Male				896	
Female				106	
Total				1,002	

	Neglected	Juvenile	Juvenile	Adult	Other
Age	Programs	Detention	Corrections	Corrections	Programs
3 through 5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14				1	
15				7	
16				20	
17				76	
18				134	
19				171	
20				261	
21				332	
Total				1,002	

If the total number of students differs by demographics, please explain in comment box below.

This response is limited to 8,000 characters.

**Comments:** Blanks should be interpreted as zeros.

# **FAQ on Unduplicated Count:**

What is an unduplicated count? An unduplicated count is one that counts students only once, even if they were admitted to a facility or program multiple times within the reporting year.

# FAQ on long-term:

What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011.

# 2.4.1.3 Programs/Facilities Academic Offerings - Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the number of programs/facilities (not students) that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 funds and awarded at least one high school course credit, one high school diploma, and/or one GED within the reporting year. Include programs/facilities that directly awarded a credit, diploma, or GED, as well as programs/facilities that made awards through another agency. The numbers should <u>not</u> exceed those reported earlier in the facility counts.

# Programs That	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention Facilities	Juvenile Corrections Facilities	Adult Corrections Facilities	Other Programs
Awarded high school course credit(s)	0	0	0	2	0
Awarded high school diploma(s)	0	0	0	2	0
Awarded GED(s)	0	0	0	17	0
Comments:	,				,

# 2.4.1.4 Academic Outcomes - Subpart 1

The following questions collect academic outcome data on students served through Title I, Part D, Subpart 1.

## 2.4.1.4.1 Academic Outcomes While in the State Agency Program/Facility

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students who attained academic outcomes while in the State agency program/facility by type of program/facility.

	Neglected	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other
# of Students Who	Programs	Facilities	Facilities	Facilities	Programs
Earned high school					
course credits	0	0	0	187	0
Enrolled in a GED					
program	0	0	0	432	0
Comments:			-		

## 2.4.1.4.2 Academic Outcomes While in the State Agency Program/Facility or Within 30 Calendar Days After Exit

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students who attained academic outcomes while in the State agency program/facility or within 30 calendar days after exit, by type of program/facility.

	Neglected	Juvenile Detention	<b>Juvenile Corrections</b>	Adult	Other
# of Students Who	Programs	Facilities	Facilities	Corrections	Programs
Enrolled in their local district					
school	0	0	0	209	0
Earned a GED	0	0	0	236	0
Obtained high school					
diploma	0	0	0	8	0
Were accepted into post-					
secondary education	0	0	0	11	0
Enrolled in post-secondary					
education	0	0	0	4	0
Comments:					

# 2.4.1.5 Vocational Outcomes – Subpart 1

The following questions collect data on vocational outcomes of students served through Title I, Part D, Subpart 1.

# 2.4.1.5.1 Vocational Outcomes While in the State Agency Program/Facility

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students who attained vocational outcomes while in the State agency program by type of program/facility.

	Neglected		<b>Juvenile Corrections</b>	Adult	Other
# of Students Who	Programs	<b>Juvenile Detention Facilities</b>	Facilities	Corrections	Programs
Enrolled in elective job training					
courses/programs	0	0	0	184	0
Comments:					·

# 2.4.1.5.2 Vocational Outcomes While in the State Agency Program/Facility or Within 30 Days After Exit

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students who attained vocational outcomes while in the State agency program/facility or within 30 days after exit, by type of program/facility.

	Neglected		<b>Juvenile Corrections</b>	Adult	Other
# of Students Who	Programs	Juvenile Detention Facilities	Facilities	Corrections	Programs
Enrolled in external job					
training education	0	0	0	0	0
Obtained employment	0	0	0	0	0
Comments:					

## 2.4.1.6 Academic Performance - Subpart 1

The following questions collect data on the academic performance of neglected and delinquent students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 in reading and mathematics.

# 2.4.1.6.1 Academic Performance in Reading – Subpart 1

In the tables below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of <u>long-term</u> students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 1, who participated in reading testing. In the first table, report the number of students who tested below grade level upon entry based on their pretest. A post-test is not required to answer this item. Then, indicate the number of students who completed both a pre-test and a post-test. In the second table, report only students who participated in both pre-and post-testing. Students should be reported in only one of the five change categories in the second table below.

Report only information on a student's most recent testing data. Students who were pre-tested prior to July 1, 2010, may be included if their post-test was administered during the reporting year. Students who were post-tested after the reporting year ended should be counted in the following year. Throughout the tables, report numbers for juvenile detention and correctional facilities together in a single column. Below the tables is an FAQ about the data collected in these tables.

Performance Data (Based on most recent testing data)	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Long-term students who tested below grade level upon entry				711	
Long-term students who have complete pre- and post-test results (data)				386	

Of the students reported in the second row above, indicate the number who showed:

Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
			51	
			45	
			72	
			73	
			145	
	•	_	9	Programs Detention Corrections Corrections  51  45  72  73

## FAQ on long-term students:

What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011.

# 2.4.1.6.2 Academic Performance in Mathematics – Subpart 1

This section is similar to 2.4.1.6.1. The only difference is that this section collects data on mathematics performance.

Performance Data (Based on most recent testing data)	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Long-term students who tested below grade					
level upon entry				747	
Long-term students who have complete pre-					
and post-test results (data)				389	

Of the students reported in the second row above, indicate the number who showed:

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data).	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Negative grade level change from the pre- to post-test exams				44	
No change in grade level from the pre- to post- test exams				48	
Improvement of up to 1/2 grade level from the pre- to post-test exams				85	
Improvement from 1/2 up to one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams				60	
Improvement of more than one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams				152	
Comments: Blanks should be interpreted as zer	os.				

# 2.4.2 LEA Title I, Part D Programs and Facilities - Subpart 2

The following questions collect data on Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities.

## 2.4.2.1 Programs and Facilities - Subpart 2

In the table below, provide the number of LEA Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities that serve neglected and delinquent students and the yearly average length of stay by program/facility type for these students. Report only the programs and facilities that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 funding during the reporting year. Count a facility once if it offers only one type of program. If a facility offers more than one type of program (i.e., it is a multipurpose facility), then count each of the separate programs. Make sure to identify the number of multipurpose facilities that were included in the facility/program count in the second table. The total number of programs/ facilities will be automatically calculated. Below the table is an FAQ about the data collected in this table.

LEA Program/Facility Type	# Programs/Facilities	Average Length of Stay (# days)
At-risk programs	7	88
Neglected programs	32	88
Juvenile detention	28	49
Juvenile corrections	8	196
Other	0	0
Total	75	105

How many of the programs listed in the table above are in a multiple purpose facility?

	#
Programs in a multiple purpose facility	6
Comments:	

# FAQ on average length of stay:

How is average length of stay calculated? The average length of stay should be weighted by number of students and should include the number of days, per visit for each student enrolled during the reporting year, regardless of entry or exit date. Multiple visits for students who entered more than once during the reporting year can be included. The average length of stay in days should not exceed 365.

#### 2.4.2.1.1 Programs and Facilities That Reported - Subpart 2

In the table below, provide the number of LEA Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities that reported data on neglected and delinquent students.

The total row will be automatically calculated.

LEA Program/Facility Type	# Reporting Data
At-risk programs	7
Neglected programs	32
Juvenile detention	28
Juvenile corrections	8
Other	0
Total	75
Comments:	

# 2.4.2.2 Students Served - Subpart 2

In the tables below, provide the number of neglected and delinquent students served in LEA Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities. Report <u>only</u> students who received Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 services during the reporting year. In the first table, provide in row 1 the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students served by each program, and in row 2, the total number of students in row 1 who are long-term. In the subsequent tables, provide the number of students served by race/ethnicity, by sex, and by age. The total number of students by race/ethnicity, by sex, and by age will be automatically calculated.

# of Students Served	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
Total Unduplicated Students Served	574	3,339	2,459	333	
Total Long Term Students Served	347	782	389	266	

	At-Risk	Neglected	Juvenile	Juvenile	Other
Race/Ethnicity	Programs	Programs	Detention	Corrections	Programs
American Indian or Alaskan Native	101	380	394	35	
Asian	3	8	5	2	
Black or African American	45	595	565	135	
Hispanic or Latino	25	236	222	37	
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	14	44	23	4	
White	381	1,955	1,191	120	
Two or more races	5	121	59		
Total	574	3,339	2,459	333	

Sex	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
Male	356	1,932	1,887	333	
Female	218	1,407	572		
Total	574	3,339	2,459	333	

Age	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
3-5	i regiume	101			
6	3	126	3		
7	6	142	2		
8	5	192	3		
9	8	200	3		
10	10	239	5		
11	11	244	15		
12	21	283	38	2	
13	64	326	136	10	
14	69	380	280	41	
15	76	394	490	69	
16	92	374	658	90	
17	80	268	630	119	
18	54	40	164	2	
19	43	25	31		
20	31	2	1		
21	1	3			
Total	574	3,339	2,459	333	

If the total number of students differs by demographics, please explain. The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

**Comments:** Blanks should be interpreted as zeros.

# **FAQ on Unduplicated Count:**

What is an unduplicated count? An unduplicated count is one that counts students only once, even if they were admitted to a facility or program multiple times within the reporting year.

# FAQ on long-term:

What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011.

# 2.4.2.3 Programs/Facilities Academic Offerings - Subpart 2

In the table below, provide the number of programs/facilities (<u>not students</u>) that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 funds and awarded at least one high school course credit, one high school diploma, and/or one GED within the reporting year. Include programs/facilities that directly awarded a credit, diploma, or GED, as well as programs/facilities that made awards through another agency. The numbers should <u>not</u> exceed those reported earlier in the facility counts.

LEA Programs That	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections Facilities	Other Programs
Awarded high school course credit(s)	4	21	21	7	0
Awarded high school diploma(s)	2	11	5	6	0
Awarded GED(s)	0	3	6	6	0
Comments:					

# 2.4.2.4 Academic Outcomes – Subpart 2

The following questions collect academic outcome data on students served through Title I, Part D, Subpart 2.

# 2.4.2.4.1 Academic Outcomes While in the LEA Program/Facility

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students who attained academic outcomes while in the LEA program/facility by type of program/facility.

	At-Risk	Neglected	Juvenile	Juvenile	Other
# of Students Who	Programs	Programs	Detention	Corrections	Programs
Earned high school course					
credits	182	390	616	269	0
Enrolled in a GED program	0	3	26	105	0
Comments:					

# 2.4.2.4.2 Academic Outcomes While in the LEA Program/Facility or Within 30 Calendar Days After Exit

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students who attained academic outcomes while in the LEA program/facility or within 30 calendar days after exit, by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
Enrolled in their local district school	399	1,259	409	119	0
Earned a GED	0	2	14	38	0
Obtained high school diploma	31	32	14	14	0
Were accepted into post- secondary education	0	24	1	14	0
Enrolled in post-secondary education	0	23	0	14	0
Comments:	•	•	•		•

# 2.4.2.5 Vocational Outcomes – Subpart 2

The following questions collect data on vocational outcomes of students served through Title I, Part D, Subpart 2.

# 2.4.2.5.1 Vocational Outcomes While in the LEA Program/Facility

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students who attained vocational outcomes while in the LEA program by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who		Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
Enrolled in elective job training					
courses/programs	0	28	16	94	0
Comments:					

# 2.4.2.5.2 Vocational Outcomes While in the LEA Program/Facility or Within 30 Days After Exit

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students who attained vocational outcomes while in the LEA program/facility or within 30 days after exit, by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
Enrolled in external job training education	0	13	0	24	0
Obtained employment	0	59	0	24	0
Comments:					

#### 2.4.2.6 Academic Performance - Subpart 2

The following questions collect data on the academic performance of neglected and delinquent students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 in reading and mathematics.

#### 2.4.2.6.1 Academic Performance in Reading – Subpart 2

In the tables below, provide the unduplicated number of <u>long-term</u> students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 2, who participated in reading testing. In the first table, report the number of students who tested below grade level upon entry based on their pretest. A post-test is not required to answer this item. Then, indicate the number of students who completed both a pre-test and a post-test. In the second table, report only students who participated in both pre-and post-testing. Students should be reported in only one of the five change categories in the second table below. Report only information on a student's most recent testing data. Students who were pre-tested prior to July 1, 2010, may be included if their post-test was administered during the reporting year. Students who were post-tested after the reporting year ended should be counted in the following year. Throughout the table, report numbers for juvenile detention and correctional facilities <u>together</u> in a single column. Below the tables is an FAQ about the data collected in these tables.

Performance Data (Based on most recent testing data)	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
Long-term students who tested below grade		075	070	450	
level upon entry	39	275	273	156	
Long-term students who have complete					
pre- and post-test results (data)	54	342	304	243	

Of the students reported in the second row above, indicate the number who showed:

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data).	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
Negative grade level change from the pre- to post-test exams	10	25	48	1	
No change in grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	14	87	90	19	
Improvement of up to 1/2 grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		70	84	50	
Improvement from 1/2 up to one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	3	70	48	80	
Improvement of more than one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	27	90	34	93	
Comments: Blanks should be interpreted as	s zeros.				

#### FAQ on long-term:

What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011.

# 2.4.2.6.2 Academic Performance in Mathematics – Subpart 2

This section is similar to 2.4.2.6.1. The only difference is that this section collects data on mathematics performance.

Performance Data (Based on most recent testing data)	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
Long-term students who tested below grade					
level upon entry	47	292	318	205	
Long-term students who have complete pre-					
and post-test results (data)	54	327	288	243	

Of the students reported in the second row above, indicate the number who showed:

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data).	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
Negative grade level change from the pre- to post-test exams	11	45	45	2	
No change in grade level from the pre- to post- test exams	17	90	81	22	
Improvement of up to 1/2 grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		67	101	60	
Improvement from 1/2 up to one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	12	60	24	72	
Improvement of more than one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	14	65	37	87	
Comments: Blanks should be interpreted as zer	os.				

#### 2.7 SAFE AND DRUG FREE SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITIES ACT (TITLE IV, PART A)

This section collects data on student behaviors under the Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Act (TITLE IV,PART A).

#### 2.7.1 Performance Measures

In the table below, provide actual performance data.

Performance Indicator	Instrument/ Data Source	Frequency of Collection	Year of most recent collection	Targets	Actual Performance	Baseline	Year Baseline Established
				2008-09: 0			
					2008-09: 0		
				2009-10: 0			
					2009-10: 0		
				2010-11: 0	2010-11: 0		
				2011-12: 0			
The number of "persistently	Unsafe School						
	Choice Option		2010-2011	2012-			
defined by the state.	Online Report	Annual		13:		0	2002-2003

**Comments:** Because of a reduction in staff that assisted with this report, we do not know the measures used to project the performance indicator's targets two years out. With the EDfacts support center assistance, we concluded that because we do not have 2011-2012 actual performance numbers, we could not set an accurate, obtainable target for 2012-2013.

		Frequency	Year of most				Year
	Instrument/	of	recent		Actual		Baseline
Performance Indicator	Data Source	Collection	collection	Targets	Performance	Baseline	Established
				2008-			
				09: 419	2008-09: 204		
				2009-			
				10: 194	2009-10: 192		
				2010-	2010-11: 152		
				11: 183			
Five percent reduction	Title IV Incident			2011-			
	Report form Online			12: 144			
	and Gun-Free		2010-2011	2012-			
1	Report	Annual		13:		224	2002-2003

**Comments:** Because of a reduction in staff that assisted with this report, we do not know the measures used to project the performance indicator's targets two years out. With the EDfacts support center assistance, we concluded that because we do not have 2011-2012 actual performance numbers, we could not set an accurate, obtainable target for 2012-2013.

		Frequency	Year of most				Year
	Instrument/	of	recent		Actual		Baseline
Performance Indicator	Data Source	Collection	collection	Targets	Performance	Baseline	Established
				2008-			
				09: 1250	2008-09: 478		
				2009-			
				10: 455	2009-10: 555		
				2010-	2010-11: 562		
				11: 528			
Five percent reduction				2011-			
statewide of longterm	Title IV Incident			12: 534			
	Report Form Online		2010-2011	2012-			
related incidents.		Annual		13:		680	2002-2003

**Comments:** Because of a reduction in staff that assisted with this report, we do not know the measures used to project the performance indicator's targets two years out. With the EDfacts support center assistance, we concluded that because we do not have 2011-2012 actual performance numbers, we could not set an accurate, obtainable target for 2012-2013.

	Instrument/	Frequency of	Year of most recent		Actual		Year Baseline
Performance Indicator	Data Source	Collection	collection	Targets	Performance	Baseline	Established
				2008-	2008-09: 8792		
				09: 11450			
				2009-	2009-		
				10: 8352	10: 15319		
				2010-	2010-		
				11: 14553	11: 37551		
Five percent decrease				2011-			
annually of statewide	Title IV Incident			12: 35673			
bullying and/or harassment	Report Form Online		2010-2011	2012-			
incidents by students.		Annual		13:		12479	2002-2003

Comments: Because of a reduction in staff that assisted with this report, we do not know the measures used to project the performance indicator's targets two years out. With the EDfacts support center assistance, we concluded that because we do not have 2011-2012 actual performance numbers, we could not set an accurate, obtainable target for 2012-2013.

# 2.7.2 Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

The following questions collect data on the out-of-school suspension and expulsion of students by grade level (e.g., K through 5, 6 through 8, 9 through 12) and type of incident (e.g., violence, weapons possession, alcohol-related, illicit drug-related).

#### 2.7.2.1 State Definitions

In the spaces below, provide the State definitions for each type of incident.

Incident Type	State Definition
Alcohol related	The violation of laws or ordinances prohibiting the manufacture, sale, purchase, transportation, possession, or use of intoxicating beverages.
Illicit drug related	The unlawful use, cultivation, manufacture, distribution, sale, purchase, possession, transportation, or importation of any controlled drug or narcotic substance, or equipment and devices used for preparing or taking drugs or narcotics.
Violent incident without physical injury	Our state definition is "physical fighting"mutual participation in an altercation.
Violent incident with physical injury	Our state definition is "aggravated assault"when great bodily injury is inflicted upon the person assaulted; Great bodily injury means bone fracture, protracted and obvious disfigurement, protracted loss or impairment of the function of a body part, organ, or mental faculty or substantial risk of death.
Weapons possession	Any instrument or object deliberately used to inflict harm on another person or used to intimidate any person. Included in this category are knives of any kind, chains (any not used for the purpose for which it was normally intended and capable of harming an individual), pipe (any length, metal or otherwise, not being used for the purpose for which it was intended), razor blades, or similar kinds of instruments, ice picks, dirks, or other pointed instruments (including pencils and pens), nunchakus, brass knuckles, Chinese stars, billy clubs, tear gas guns, or electrical weapons or devices (stun guns.) Includes toy guns, cap guns, BB guns and pellet guns in this category.
Comments:	

#### 2.7.2.2 Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions for Violent Incident Without Physical Injury

The following questions collect data on violent incident without physical injury.

### 2.7.2.2.1 Out-of-School Suspensions for Violent Incident Without Physical Injury

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school suspensions for <u>violent incident without physical injury</u> by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on <u>violent incident without physical injury</u>, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Suspensions for Violent Incident Without Physical Injury	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	2,287	522
6 through 8	5,243	522
9 through 12	3,013	522
Comments:		

#### 2.7.2.2.2 Out-of-School Expulsions for Violent Incident Without Physical Injury

In the table below, provide the number of out-of school expulsions for <u>violent incident without physical injury</u> by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on <u>violent incident without physical injury</u>, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Expulsions for Violent Incident Without Physical Injury	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	20	522
6 through 8	80	522
9 through 12	143	522
Comments: In lieu of expulsion, our state reports long-term suspensions (through the remainder of the school year.)		

## 2.7.2.3 Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions for Violent Incident with Physical Injury

The following questions collect data on violent incident with physical injury.

# 2.7.2.3.1 Out-of-School Suspensions for Violent Incident with Physical Injury

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school suspensions for violent incident with physical injury by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on violent incident with physical injury, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Suspensions for Violent Incident with Physical Injury	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	320	522
6 through 8	289	522
9 through 12	289	522
Comments:		

## 2.7.2.3.2 Out-of-School Expulsions for Violent Incident with Physical Injury

In the table below, provide the number of out-of school expulsions for violent incident with physical injury by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on violent incident with physical injury, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Expulsions for Violent Incident with Physical Injury	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	7	522
6 through 8	14	522
9 through 12	25	522
Comments: In lieu of expulsion, our state reports long-term suspensions (through the remainder of the school year.)		

#### 2.7.2.4 Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions for Weapons Possession

The following sections collect data on weapons possession.

### 2.7.2.4.1 Out-of-School Suspensions for Weapons Possession

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school suspensions for weapons possession by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on weapons possession, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Suspensions for Weapons Possession	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	399	522
6 through 8	296	522
9 through 12	255	522
Comments:		

## 2.7.2.4.2 Out-of-School Expulsions for Weapons Possession

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school expulsions for weapons possession by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on weapons possession, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Expulsion for Weapons Possession	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	24	522
6 through 8	61	522
9 through 12	87	522
Comments: In lieu of expulsion, our state reports long-term suspensions (through the remainder of the school year.)		

#### 2.7.2.5 Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions for Alcohol-Related Incidents

The following questions collect data on <u>alcohol-related</u> incidents.

#### 2.7.2.5.1 Out-of-School Suspensions for Alcohol-Related Incidents

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school suspensions for alcohol-related incidents by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on <u>alcohol-related</u> incidents, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Suspensions for Alcohol-Related Incidents	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	7	522
6 through 8	121	522
9 through 12	449	522
Comments:		

#### 2.7.2.5.2 Out-of-School Expulsions for Alcohol-Related Incidents

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school expulsions for alcohol-related incidents by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on alcohol-related incidents, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Expulsion for Alcohol-Related Incidents	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	1	522
6 through 8	14	522
9 through 12	61	522
Comments: In lieu of expulsion, our state reports long-term suspensions (through the remainder of the school year.)		

#### 2.7.2.6 Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions for Illicit Drug-Related Incidents

The following questions collect data on illicit drug-related incidents.

# 2.7.2.6.1 Out-of-School Suspensions for Illicit Drug-Related Incidents

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school suspensions for illicit drug-related incidents by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on illicit drug-related incidents, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Suspensions for Illicit Drug-Related Incidents	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	22	522
6 through 8	400	522
9 through 12	1,167	522
Comments:		

#### 2.7.2.6.2 Out-of-School Expulsions for Illicit Drug-Related Incidents

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school expulsions for illicit drug-related incidents by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on illicit drug-related incidents, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Expulsion for Illicit Drug-Related Incidents	# LEAs Reporting	
K through 5	9	522	
6 through 8	156	522	
9 through 12	397	522	
<b>Comments:</b> In lieu of expulsion, our state reports long-term suspensions (through the remainer of the school year.)			

#### 2.7.3 Parent Involvement

In the table below, provide the types of efforts your State uses to inform parents of, and include parents in, drug and violence prevention efforts. Place a check mark next to the five <u>most common</u> efforts underway in your State. If there are other efforts underway in your State not captured on the list, add those in the other specify section.

Yes/No	Parental Involvement Activities	
Yes	Information dissemination on Web sites and in publications, including newsletters, guides, brochures, and "report cards" on school performance	
Yes_	Training and technical assistance to LEAs on recruiting and involving parents	
No_	State requirement that parents must be included on LEA advisory councils	
Yes_	State and local parent training, meetings, conferences, and workshops	
No_	Parent involvement in State-level advisory groups	
No_	Parent involvement in school-based teams or community coalitions	
No_	Parent surveys, focus groups, and/or other assessments of parent needs and program effectiveness	
_No_	Media and other campaigns (Public service announcements, red ribbon campaigns, kick-off events, parenting awareness month, safe schools week, family day, etc.) to raise parental awareness of drug and alcohol or safety issues	
Yes	Other Specify 1	
Yes	Other Specify 2	

In the space below, specify 'other' parental activities.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

Specify 1--Safe School Committee Law on requiring parent inclusion at school site level.

Specify 2--Parent Resource materials available and emailed to statewide list serv.

# 2.9 RURAL EDUCATION ACHIEVEMENT PROGRAM (REAP) (TITLE VI, PART B, SUBPARTS 1 AND 2)

This section collects data on the Rural Education Achievement Program (REAP) Title VI, Part B, Subparts 1 and 2.

# 2.9.1 LEA Use of Alternative Funding Authority Under the Small Rural Achievement (SRSA) Program (Title VI, Part B, Subpart 1)

In the table below, provide the number of LEAs that notified the State of their intent to use the alternative uses funding authority under Section 6211.

	# LEAs	
# LEA's using SRSA alternative uses of funding authority	316	
Comments:		

# 2.9.2 LEA Use of Rural Low-Income Schools Program (RLIS) (Title VI, Part B, Subpart 2) Grant Funds

In the table below, provide the number of eligible LEAs that used RLIS funds for each of the listed purposes.

Purpose	# LEAs
Teacher recruitment and retention, including the use of signing bonuses and other financial incentives	7
Teacher professional development, including programs that train teachers to utilize technology to improve teaching and to train special needs teachers	27
Educational technology, including software and hardware as described in Title II, Part D	79
Parental involvement activities	8
Activities authorized under the Safe and Drug-Free Schools Program (Title IV, Part A)	7
Activities authorized under Title I, Part A	51
Activities authorized under Title III (Language instruction for LEP and immigrant students)	2
Comments:	

#### 2.9.2.1 Goals and Objectives

In the space below, describe the progress the State has made in meeting the goals and objectives for the Rural Low-Income Schools (RLIS) Program as described in its June 2002 Consolidated State application. Provide quantitative data where available.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

One hundred twenty-one (120) school districts participated in the Rural and Low-Income Schools Program during the 2010-2011 school year. Funds were used to supplement the districts' efforts to ensure that all students have the opportunity to reach high

academic standards. Eighty-three of the districts made adequate yearly progress (AYP) in reading; eighty-seven of the districts made AYP in math; seventy districts made AYP overall resulting in fifty-eight percent (58%) of the participating districts making adequate yearly progress. Quantitative data about the percentages of students attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics has been reported in Section 1.3 Student Achievement of the 2009-2010 Consolidated State Performance Report, Part I.

For 2010-2011 - 120 LEAs participated; 70 LEAs made AYP

For 2009-2010 - 121 LEAs participated; 40 LEAs made AYP

Oklahoma reset achievement standards for grades 3-8 Mathematics and Reading as well as End-of-Instruction English II in June 2009. This increased level of rigor, along with higher AYP benchmarks in reading and mathematics for the 2009-2010 school year, resulted in fewer schools making AYP statewide. The state implemented an adjusted Academic Performance Index scale on which to measure AYP, so the 2009-2010 school year should be considered a new baseline from which to show progress in the future.

# 2.10 FUNDING TRANSFERABILITY FOR STATE AND LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES (TITLE VI, PART A, SUBPART 2)

# 2.10.1 State Transferability of Funds

Did the State transfer funds under the State Transferability authority of Section 6123(a)	
during SY 2010-11?	No_
Comments:	

# 2.10.2 Local Educational Agency (LEA) Transferability of Funds

	#
LEAs that notified the State that they were transferring funds under the LEA	
Transferability authority of Section 6123(b).	87
Comments:	

## 2.10.2.1 LEA Funds Transfers

In the table below, provide the total number of LEAs that transferred funds from an eligible program to another eligible program.

	# LEAs Transferring Funds <u>FROM</u> Eligible	# LEAs Transferring Funds <u>TO</u> Eligible
Program	Program	Program
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants (Section 2121)	86	0
Educational Technology State Grants (Section 2412(a)(2)(A))	1	28
Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities (Section 4112(b)(1))	0	1
State Grants for Innovative Programs (Section 5112(a))	0	0
Title I, Part A, Improving Basic Programs Operated by LEAs		60

In the table below provide the total amount of FY 2010 appropriated funds transferred from and to each eligible program.

	Total Amount of Funds Transferred <u>FROM</u> Eligible	
Program	Program	Program
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants (Section 2121)	3,061,656.00	0.00
Educational Technology State Grants (Section 2412(a)(2)(A))	234.00	1,204,166.00
Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities (Section 4112(b)(1))	0.00	0.00
State Grants for Innovative Programs (Section 5112(a))	0.00	0.00
Title I, Part A, Improving Basic Programs Operated by LEAs		1,857,724.00
Total	3,061,890.00	3,061,890.00
Comments:		

The Department plans to obtain information on the use of funds under both the State and LEA Transferability Authority through evaluation studies.